

**VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER  
MULTIDISCIPLINARY SURGICAL CRITICAL CARE**

**TRAUMA INTENSIVE CARE UNIT GLYCEMIC CONTROL PROTOCOL**

---

---

**Background:**

For some time, the presence of diabetes and hyperglycemia has been known to be a risk factor for infectious complications in surgical patients. Latham R, et al. evaluated 1000 consecutive cardiac surgery patients (including non-diabetic) for incidence of infectious complications. Overall, 3% developed surgical site infections and patients with at least one perioperative glucose value  $\geq 200$  mg/dL had adjusted odds of infections twice as high as non-hyperglycemic patients.<sup>1</sup> This study did not evaluate treatment or control of hyperglycemia and its effect on infectious outcomes. Richards et al. and Kauffmann et al. found similar results in non-diabetic orthopedic trauma patients.<sup>2,3</sup>

A landmark study was published by Van den Berghe, et al. in 2001 and set a new standard of care for glucose control in critically ill and injured surgical patients.<sup>4</sup> The authors performed a randomized trial of 1548 consecutive mechanically-ventilated SICU patients. Patients were randomized to either control (180-200 mg/dL) or treatment (80-110 mg/dL) managed by insulin infusion. On day one of admission, all patients received IV glucose and were subsequently changed to some form of nutritional support by day 2, either TPN or TEN. Patients with glucose values above the randomization range received continuous infusion IV insulin titrated at one hour intervals to reach their target levels. Once glucose control had stabilized, glucose analysis intervals were lengthened to every two or four hours. "Tight" glucose control resulted in a significant reduction in mortality for the group overall, but particularly the population with prolonged ICU stays (>5days). Tight glucose control resulted in a 32% adjusted risk reduction for mortality. For the total population, inclusion in the treatment arm resulted in fewer deaths due to sepsis but not deaths due to other causes. This group also demonstrated fewer overall infections, less requirement for dialysis, and less critical illness polyneuropathy.

In 2009, the NICE-SUGAR study was published and challenged the findings of the Van den Berghe et al trial. The NICE-SUGAR study randomized 6104 adult patients who were expected to receive ICU care for at least 3 days to receive intensive glucose control (goal 81-108 mg/dL) or conventional glucose control (goal  $\leq 180$ mg/dL).<sup>5</sup> At 90 days after randomization, 27.5% in the intensive-control group had died, as compared with 24.9% in the conventional-control group. The adjusted odds ratio for 90 day mortality was 1.14 (95% CI, 1.01-1.29,  $p = 0.04$ ). Also, the median survival time was lower in the intensive-control group compared to the conventional-control group (HR 1.11; 95% CI, 1.01-1.23,  $p=0.03$ ). Another relevant finding was the significantly reduced rate of severe hypoglycemia in the conventional-control group versus the intensive-control group (0.5% vs. 6.8%,  $p < 0.001$ ). Patients admitted for operative reasons benefitted from conventional control, although this was called into controversy in a meta-analysis including NICE-SUGAR trial results.<sup>6</sup> Due to the inability to achieve consensus, some practitioners began to question intensive insulin therapy.

In December 2012, the Society of Critical Care Medicine (SCCM) published guidelines on the use of insulin infusions for the management of hyperglycemia in critically ill patients.<sup>7</sup> The authors suggest using an insulin protocol to target a blood glucose goal range of 100-150 mg/dL, while maintaining blood glucose values less than 180 mg/dL. These guidelines also focus on the importance of avoiding hypoglycemia, defined as a BG  $< 70$  mg/dL, agreeing with earlier work from our institution that the length of time on insulin therapy is related to hypoglycemia, although severe hypoglycemia is not necessarily related to mortality.<sup>8,9</sup> To avoid the adverse effects of hypoglycemia, the guidelines recommend frequent blood glucose monitoring (every 1-2 hours) and the restoration of normoglycemia through the administration of dextrose (50%) while avoiding increases in glucose variability. Studies at Vanderbilt have also shown that provision of balanced nutrition rather than simply carbohydrate reduces hypoglycemia rates.<sup>10</sup> Subcutaneous insulin may be used in a select group of ICU patients with low insulin requirements and who are clinically stable and off vasopressors.

## **Guidelines for Maintenance of Euglycemia:**

All patients in Trauma ICU (TICU) will have blood glucose levels checked upon admission. Each patient in the unit will be categorized as high risk or low risk depending on their clinical status. The high risk group will receive more frequent blood glucose monitoring. It should be remembered that patients may change between risk categories as their clinical course unfolds.

All patients admitted to the Trauma ICU (TICU) should have a blood glucose level checked upon admission via a point-of-care device.

### **Category 1 – High Risk:**

Sepsis  
Acute resuscitation  
Inotropic/Vasopressor support  
Acute organ dysfunction  
Acute respiratory failure  
\*\*\* Exception being  
Multi-trauma patients requiring mechanical ventilation with planned extubation within 12-24hours after admission

### **Category 2 – Conservative Management**

Hemodynamically stable  
Not mechanically ventilated

### **High Risk Patients – Category 1**

- **Begin blood glucose monitoring q4h for patients whose blood glucose is < 150 on admission.**
- **Consider discontinuing q4h accu-checks if:**
  - Blood glucose remains < 150mg/dl
- **AND**
- TF goal has been met x24 hours
- Patient off vasopressors
- **Begin continuous insulin infusion using TICU insulin drip protocol when BG >= 150**
  - Patients should have a glucose source i.e. D10@30, unless D5LR or D5NS are ordered at >50ml/hr. TF at 50% of goal or TPN can also serve as a glucose source.
- **Select TICU goal target range of 100-130 mg/dL**

This is a nurse-driven protocol in Wiz (HEO). When a nurse obtains a BG value, he/she will enter it into the insulin infusion advisor. This will calculate a new infusion rate and/or amount of D50W to be given. For reference, below is the titration calculation in Wiz (HEO).

### **Insulin infusion titration calculation:**

$$\text{Drip Rate (units/hr)} = [\text{Blood Glucose (BG)} - 60] \times \text{multiplier}$$

The insulin infusion algorithm takes into account the patient's dextrose source, site of BG test, the current BG value, the previous BG value, and the previous multiplier.

- After two successive BG readings > 130 mg/dL, the multiplier increases
- If BG < 100 mg/dL, the multiplier decreases
- If BG within target range, no change to multiplier
- If BG less than 60 mg/dL, multiplier is set to zero
- If BG greater than 1.5 x (130) AND previous multiplier is zero, set multiplier to 0.01
- If BG ≥ previous BG AND previous BG > 1.25 x (130) AND previous multiplier is zero, set multiplier to 0.01.

**D50W Dose Calculation: D50W dose = (85 – BG) x 0.5 (rounded to nearest 5 mL)**

Blood Glucose Reading	Recommended D50W by IV push
71-80	5 mL
61-70	10 mL
51-60	15 mL
41-50	20 mL
31-40	25 mL
26-30	30 mL
≤ 25	35 L

- **Notify House Officers Parameters include:**
  - Any BG reading below 60 mg/dL
  - Two successive BG readings less than 80 mg/dL
  - Two successive BG readings greater than 200 mg/dL
  - A recommended insulin infusion rate greater than 22 units/hr
- **Consider changing to the TICU sliding scale if:**
  - **Provider order has been placed to discontinue insulin infusion**
  - Critical illness resolved, subcutaneous absorption appropriate, and without new clinical deterioration
  - Insulin infusion requirements of ≤ 3units/hr for 24 hours AND on a stable source of nutrition
- **Consider Endocrine consult if a patient meets the following criteria:**
  - Patient's BG values are not being adequately controlled
  - AND**
  - Patient is a known diabetic on an insulin regimen at home, with A1C > 7
  - OR**
  - Continuous insulin infusion rate remains ≥ 4 units/hr despite addition of long-acting and prandial insulin

## **Low Risk Patients – Category 2**

### **1. Blood glucose 111 - 250 mg/dL, begin Subcutaneous Insulin Therapy**

- Q6hr accu-checks and dosing
- Subcutaneous insulin dose (units of regular insulin) calculated as:

$$\frac{\{[\text{blood glucose (mg/dL)}] - 100\}}{15}$$

- Adjust insulin dose using denominator (number divided by) as needed to reach target of 110 – 160 mg/dL
  - Notify ICU provider if questions
  - **No glucose source is required while a patient is on sliding scale**
- ### **2. Blood glucose > 250 mg/dL for two consecutive blood glucose checks, begin TICU insulin infusion protocol**
- Initiate and titrate as per guideline of Category 1 above

## **References**

1. Latham R, Lancaster AD, Covington JF, et al.: The association of diabetes and glucose control with surgical-site infections among cardiothoracic surgery patients. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2001; 22:607–612
2. Richards JE, Kauffmann RM, Obremskey WT, et al.: Stress-Induced Hyperglycemia as a Risk Factor for Surgical-Site Infection in Non-diabetic Orthopaedic Trauma Patients Admitted to the Intensive Care Unit. *Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma* 2013; 27:16–21
3. Kauffmann RM: Relationship of Hyperglycemia and Surgical-Site Infection in Orthopaedic Surgery. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2012; 94:1181
4. Van den Berghe G, Wouters P, Weekers F, et al.: Intensive Insulin Therapy in Critically Ill Patients. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2001; 345:1359–1367
5. The NICE-SUGAR Study Investigators, Finfer S, Chittock DR, et al.: Intensive versus Conventional Glucose Control in Critically Ill Patients. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2009; 360:1283–1297
6. Griesdale DEG, de Souza RJ, van Dam RM, et al.: Intensive insulin therapy and mortality among critically ill patients: a meta-analysis including NICE-SUGAR study data. *Canadian Medical Association Journal* 2009; 180:821–827
7. Jacobi J, Bircher N, Krinsley J, et al.: Guidelines for the use of an insulin infusion for the management of hyperglycemia in critically ill patients. *Critical Care Medicine* 2012; 40:3251–3276
8. Mowery NT, Guillaumondegui OD, Gunter OL, et al.: Severe hypoglycemia while on intensive insulin therapy is not an independent predictor of death after trauma. [Internet]. *The Journal of Trauma: Injury, Infection, and Critical Care* 2010; 68:342–347 Available from:

<http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils/elink.fcgi?dbfrom=pubmed&id=20154546&retmode=ref&cmd=prlinks>

9. Mowery NT, Gunter OL, Kauffmann RM, et al.: Duration of time on intensive insulin therapy predicts severe hypoglycemia in the surgically critically ill population. [Internet]. *World Journal of Surgery* 2011; 36:270–277 Available from:  
<http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils/elink.fcgi?dbfrom=pubmed&id=22113844&retmode=ref&cmd=prlinks>
10. Kauffmann RM, Hayes RM, Jenkins JM, et al.: Provision of Balanced Nutrition Protects Against Hypoglycemia in the Critically Ill Surgical Patient. *Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition* 2011; 35:686–694

**Last revised July 1, 2016 by:**

**Oscar D. Guillamondegui, MD**

**Addison K. May, MD**

**Laurie B. Ford, ACNP-BC**

**Mark R. Priestley, ACNP-BC**

**Susan Hamblin, PharmD**