Scott L. Zuckerman, MD, MPH 🔘* Aaron M. Yengo-Kahn, MD** Alan R. Tang, BA* Julian E. Bailes, MD[§] Kathryn Beauchamp, MD¹ Mitchel S. Berger, MD Christopher M. Bonfield, MD*[‡] Paul J. Camarata, MD[#] Robert C. Cantu, MD** Gavin A. Davis, MBBS, FRACS^{‡‡} Richard G. Ellenbogen, MD^{§§} Michael J. Ellis, MD¹¹ Hank Feuer, MD Eric Guazzo, FRACS, FRCS, MD## Odette A. Harris, MD, MPH*** Peter Heppner, FRACS^{‡‡‡} Stephen Honeybul, FRCS, FRACS^{§§§}

Geoff Manley, MD, PhD Joseph C. Maroon, MD¹¹¹ Vincent J. Miele, MD, PhD¹¹¹ Brian V. Nahed, MD MSc¹¹¹¹ David O. Okonkwo, MD, PhD¹¹¹ Mark E. Oppenlander, MD^{###} Jerry Petty, MD^{****}

H. Ian Sabin, BMSc, FRCS(Ed), FRCS^{####}

Uzma Samadani, MD, PhD⁵⁵⁵⁵ Eric W. Sherburn, MD¹¹¹¹ Mark Sheridan, MBBS, FRACS¹¹¹¹¹¹ Charles H. Tator, MD, PhD, FRCSC^{####}

Nicholas Theodore, MD***** Shelly D. Timmons, MD, PhD^{‡‡‡‡‡} Graeme F. Woodworth, MD^{\$\$\$\$\$} Gary S. Solomon, PhD^{*‡}|||| Allen K. Sills, MD^{*‡}||||

*Vanderbilt Sports Concussion Center, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee, USA; [‡]Department of Neurological Surgery, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee, USA;

(Continued on next page)

Correspondence:

Scott L. Zuckerman, MD, MPH, Department of Neurological Surgery, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Medical Center North T-4224, Nashville, TN 37212, USA. Email: zuckerman.scott@gmail.com Twitter: @ScottZuck

Received, July 7, 2020. Accepted, December 28, 2020. Published Online, March 8, 2021.

© Congress of Neurological Surgeons 2021. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com

Sport-Related Structural Brain Injury and Return to Play: Systematic Review and Expert Insight

BACKGROUND: Sport-related structural brain injury (SRSBI) is intracranial pathology incurred during sport. Management mirrors that of non-sport-related brain injury. An empirical vacuum exists regarding return to play (RTP) following SRSBI.

OBJECTIVE: To provide key insight for operative management and RTP following SRSBI using a (1) focused systematic review and (2) survey of expert opinions.

METHODS: A systematic literature review of SRSBI from 2012 to present in accordance with Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guide-lines and a cross-sectional survey of RTP in SRSBI by 31 international neurosurgeons was conducted.

RESULTS: Of 27 included articles out of 241 systematically reviewed, 9 (33.0%) case reports provided RTP information for 12 athletes. To assess expert opinion, 31 of 32 neurosurgeons (96.9%) provided survey responses. For acute, asymptomatic SRSBI, 12 (38.7%) would not operate. Of the 19 (61.3%) who would operate, midline shift (63.2%) and hemorrhage size > 10 mm (52.6%) were the most common indications. Following SRSBI with resolved hemorrhage, with or without burr holes, the majority of experts (>75%) allowed RTP to high-contact/collision sports at 6 to 12 mo. Approximately 80% of experts did not endorse RTP to high-contact/collision sports for athletes with persistent hemorrhage. Following craniotomy for SRSBI, 40% to 50% of experts considered RTP at 6 to 12 mo. Linear regression revealed that experts allowed earlier RTP at higher levels of play ($\beta = -0.58$, 95% CI -0.111, -0.005, P = .033).

CONCLUSION: RTP decisions following structural brain injury in athletes are markedly heterogeneous. While individualized RTP decisions are critical, aggregated expert opinions from 31 international sports neurosurgeons provide key insight. Level of play was found to be an important consideration in RTP determinations.

KEY WORDS: Sports, Traumatic brain injury, Return to play, Subdural hemorrhage, Epidural hemorrhage, Football, Collision sports

Neurosurgery 88:E495-E504, 2021

DOI:10.1093/neuros/nyab041

www.neurosurgery-online.com

S port-related structural brain injury (SRSBI) is defined as intracranial pathology identified on standard neuroimaging and incurred by an athlete during

ABBREVIATIONS: EDH, epidural hemorrhage; IPH, intraparenchymal hematoma/hemorrhage; MeSH, Medical Subject Heading; PRISMA, Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses; RTP, return to play; SDH, subdural hematoma/hemorrhage; SRC, sport-related concussion; SRCSBI, sport-related concussion and structural brain injury; SRSBI, sport-related structural brain injury

Supplemental digital content is available for this article at www.neurosurgery-online.com.

sport or other life activities, with a focus on medical/surgical management and return to play (RTP). Management of SRSBI ranges from clinical observation^{1,2} to surgical intervention.³ Outcomes vary considerably, from full recovery and unrestricted return to sport⁴ to neurological devastation.^{3,5} RTP decisions often reflect a collaborative process involving neurosurgeons, especially postoperatively, as well as sports medicine specialists, neuropsychologists, and therapists to determine when an athlete is fully asymptomatic and may safely resume sport participation.⁶

Due to the rarity of these injuries,⁷ evidencebased guidelines for RTP following SRSBI do not exist, leaving neurosurgeons little guidance to manage these complex decisions. However, attempts to summarize the literature have been made. A prior systematic review summarized SRSBI across 40 studies from 1950 to 2012⁸ and reported 137 cases of intracranial pathology including 69 cases of traumatic subarachnoid hemorrhage, 16 cases of epidural hematoma/hemorrhage (EDH), 34 cases of subdural hematoma/hemorrhage (SDH), and 22 cases of diffuse cerebral edema. There were 10 deaths and several patients retired from sport, yet minimal RTP information was included. Since then, a small number of reports have appeared in the literature describing a spectrum of outcomes.^{3,4}

If an athlete does make a full neurological recovery after SRSBI, multiple factors affect the RTP decision-making process, such as surgery performed, future risk of high-energy head impacts, and lingering neurocognitive deficits.^{6,9} Small samples (n < 5) of expert opinions have suggested that athletes wait 1 yr to RTP following SRSBI⁶ whether or not craniotomy was required.⁹ However, a prior survey of 98 neurosurgeons through the American Association of Neurological Surgeons found most patients were cleared by their surgeon for RTP prior to 1 yr following a craniotomy.¹⁰ Unfortunately, RTP following nonoperative SRSBI was incompletely addressed.¹⁰

While RTP following concussion has received substantial attention allowing for the development of evidence-based consensus,¹¹⁻¹³ these guidelines do not address concepts critical

(Continued from previous page)

[§]University of Chicago Pritzker School of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois, USA; [¶]Division of Neurological Surgery, Denver Health Medical Center, Department of Neurological Surgery University of Colorado, Denver, Colorado, USA; Department of Neurological Surgery, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA; [#]Department of Neurological Surgery, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, Kansas, USA; **Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; **Department of Neurosurgery, Austin and Cabrini Health, Melbourne, Australia; § Department of Neurological Surgery, University of Washington School of Medicine, Harborview Medical Center, Seattle, Washington, USA; [¶]Department of Surgery and Pediatrics, Section of Neurosurgery, University of Manitoba, Pan Am Concussion Program, Winnipeg, Canada; III Player Health and Safety Department, National Football League, New York, New York, USA; ##Department of Neurosurgery, Townsville University Hospital, Townsville, Australia; *** Department of Neurosurgery, Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto, California, USA; ***Department of Neurosurgery, Auckland City Hospital, Auckland, New Zealand; 555 Department of Neurosurgery, Sir Charles Gairdner Hospital, Perth Western Australia; ¶¶Department of Neurosurgery, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA; of Neurosurgery, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; ###Department of Neurosurgery, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA; ****Carolina Neurosurgery and Spine Associates, Charlotte, North Carolina, USA; **** The Wellington Hospital, London, UK; §§§§ Division of Neurosurgery, Minneapolis VAMC; Department of Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA; """The Center for Concussion, University of Oklahoma College of Medicine, Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA; Department of Neurosurgery, Liverpool Hospital, Liverpool, Australia; #### Canadian Concussion Centre and Division of Neurosurgery, Toronto Western Hospital and University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada; *****Department of Neurosurgery, Johns Hopkins University Medical Center, Baltimore, Maryland, USA; ##### Department of Neurological Surgery, Indiana University School of Medicine and Indiana University Health, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA; 55555 Department of Neurosurgery & R. Adams Cowley Shock Trauma Center, University of Maryland, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

to SRSBI such as RTP following craniotomy or the presence of residual imaging abnormalities. RTP following SRSBI exists in a relative empirical vacuum. The resultant lack of evidencebased recommendations may lead to generic prohibitions and allowances that are unduly restrictive to athletes or place the neurosurgeon at significant medicolegal risk.

Due to the dearth of information on RTP after SRSBI and the complexity of such decisions, the need for a preliminary framework is apparent. The objective of the current study was to derive key insights for operative indications and RTP after SRSBI utilizing (1) a systematic review of literature and (2) a cross-sectional survey of expert opinion on RTP following SRSBI. We hypothesized that low level of evidence and limited RTP information garnered from the systematic review would require us to lean on aggregate expert opinion obtained via the survey to provide summative insight.

METHODS

Study Design

This study combined 2 methodologies, including a focused systematic review and cross-sectional survey of international sports neurosurgical experts, in order to provide key insight and a more complete understanding of the published experience versus expert opinions on RTP after SRSBI.

Systematic Review

The review was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement.¹⁴ The review protocol was not registered in advance with any service. As SRSBI reports from 1950 to 2012 have been previously reviewed,⁸ we reviewed published titles/abstracts from 2012 to present, which were searched systematically from PubMed using relevant MeSH (Medical Subject Heading) terms and keywords (Appendix 1). Titles and abstracts were screened and full-texts reviewed for inclusion by a single author (A.R.T.). Senior author (S.L.Z.) reviewed and verified all included articles and extracted data with concerns or disagreements settled by a second senior author (A.Y.K.). Inclusion criteria consisted of the following: case report, case series, or observational study of an athlete(s) with SRSBI.

Previously, sport-related concussion and structural brain injury (SRCSBI) had been the focus of discussion⁸; however, as guidelines for RTP following concussion have been well described, SRC was omitted for the purpose of this review. The previously published SRCSBI definition⁸ was refined to SRSBI—an intracranial pathology identified on standard neuroimaging (computed tomography [CT]/magnetic resonance imaging [MRI]) incurred by an athlete during sport or other life activities *that involves a focus on medical/surgical management and RTP.* Irrespective of injury mechanism, RTP decisions following both sport-related traumatic brain injury and non-sport-related injury require similar considerations assuming similar neurological outcomes. Structural brain injuries included SDH, EDH, intraparenchymal hematoma/hemorrhage (IPH), subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH), diffuse cerebral edema, and diffuse axonal injury. Fractures alone without parenchymal damage were not considered SRSBI.⁸

Expert Opinion

A panel of international neurosurgeons with sport expertise was selected by identifying those in a preexisting network of professional sporting leagues, and via referrals from those experts. The network was formed based on neurosurgeons who have participated in previous international sport guidelines and consensus papers.^{11,12,15} Of participating neurosurgeons, 71% were actively involved in professional sport concussion/neurotrauma protocols, 92% were either full professor or associate professor, and 29% were leading members of sport neurotrauma guidelines (Concussion in Sport Group, American Academy of Neurology Concussion Guidelines, Brain Trauma Foundation, or Centers for Disease Control TBI guidelines).

The survey was restricted to neurosurgeons given the content of structural brain injury and RTP after neurosurgical operations. The online survey consisted of 3 questions assessing the extent of respondent experience in counseling athletes following SRSBI and 13 multiple choice questions assessing operative indications for SRSBI and RTP timing in 4 scenarios at 3 levels of sport—high school, collegiate, and professional. The survey is provided in Appendix 2. For question 6, only the *asymptomatic athlete with structural brain injury* was considered. For questions 7 to 18, the same *asymptomatic athlete* was considered.

To further study how level of play affected RTP responses, the 4 scenarios (questions 7-18) that asked about increasing level of competition were collapsed into 1 variable, the RTP Assessment Score. Each survey answer was assigned a numerical value, indicating an increasingly conservative RTP assessment, from 1 to 6, where 1 = most lenient/least conservative and 6 = most conservative/least lenient. Specific values were: $1 = RTP \ 3 mo$, $2 = RTP \ 6 mo$, $3 = RTP \ 9 mo$, 4 = RTP1 yr, 5 = RTP 2 yr, 6 = no return to original high-contact/collision sport. These timeframes were chosen in order to provide a reasonable level of granularity while maintaining consistency between experts' answers. Though the last 2 survey responses (retire from all sports; retire from high-contact/collision sports) represent different responses, they were collapsed to one value, as neither response included an ordinal timetable for RTP. The RTP Assessment Score was solely meant to create a numerical continuum of answers by transforming ordinal data to a continuous variable for statistical analysis.¹⁶ Participants who did not answer according to the choices provided were excluded. Descriptive statistics of survey responses were performed, in addition to linear regression assessing a potential relationship between RTP Assessment Score and level of sport. A priori statistical significance was set at P < .05. All analyses were performed using STATA version 14 (StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas).

RESULTS

Systematic Review

Of 241 publications from the initial query, 81 full-text sources were searched for eligibility, leading to 27 included studies (Appendix 3). The 27 eligible studies included in the current review are summarized in Table 1 (and further details of each study are described in Appendix 4).^{1-4,17-39} Nearly all studies were case reports or series (level 4 evidence). Twelve reports from 9 articles (33%) that included RTP information are summarized in Table 2. Ten of these 12 cases occurred in high-school athletes or younger. The most common sport was American football (41.7%). Five (41.7%) patients were managed surgi-

cally (3 craniotomies, 1 burr hole, and 1 hemicraniectomy). One patient remained symptomatic 4 mo postinjury and was told to avoid sports until asymptomatic, and a second patient continued to have severe neurological disability. In the remaining 10 patients whose symptoms resolved completely, 3 returned to their original high-contact/collision sport with no subsequent complications noted.^{1,2,4} Of the 7 athletes who did not return to their original high-contact/collision sport, 6 were approved for low-contact sports and 1 was told to not return to any sport at all.

Expert Opinion

Demographics

Of 32 neurosurgeons invited to participate, 31 completed the survey (96.9% response rate). The United States (U.S.) was the most represented country (71%) among respondents. Mean years of experience treating athletes was 24.2 yr (5-50) (Table 3). Collegiate athletes (90.3%) and professional athletes (87.1%) were the most commonly treated. American football was the most common sport (77.4%) treated.

Operative Decision Making

In terms of the *asymptomatic* athlete with acute SRSBI, 12 (38.7%) neurosurgeons would not operate regardless of imaging (Table 4). Of the remaining 19 (61.3%) who would operate, common indications were a midline shift >10 mm (63.2%) and/or size of hemorrhage >10 mm (52.6%).

RTP Decisions

RTP responses were queried in 4 clinical scenarios and are summarized according to level of play (Table 5). Due to low responses at 3- and 9-mo intervals, these responses were collapsed graphically into 6 mo and 1 yr, respectively. For the *high-school athlete*, after SRSBI with resolved hemorrhage, the most common RTP times was 6 mo (33%). RTP with a persistent hemorrhage was conservative with 39% of respondents not allowing any sports and 46% of respondents recommending a low-contact sport to the athlete. After burr holes, most recommended switching to a low-contact sport (37%) or RTP at 6 mo postoperatively (37%). Craniotomy decisions were more conservative, with 7% recommending no sports at all and 50% recommending switching to low-contact sports.

For the *collegiate athlete*, after SRSBI with resolved hemorrhage, the most common RTP time was 6 mo (37%). RTP with persistent hemorrhage included a more conservative approach than resolved hemorrhage, with 41% not allowing any sports and 41% recommending a low-contact sport. RTP after burr holes was most commonly at 6 mo (33%). Post-craniotomy recommendations were more conservative, with 7% recommending no sports at all and 40% recommending a switch to low-contact sports.

For professional athlete's RTP after SRSBI with resolved hemorrhage, 0% of experts recommended retirement and most often considered RTP at 6mo (41%) and 1 year (33%). Only 7% recommended switching to a low-contact sport, lower than 22% I

Sport	No. of studies (%)	No. of cases (%)	Authors and year	RTP information included
American Football	6 (22)	9 (7)	Forbes et al, 2013 ³⁹ ; Weinstein et al, 2013 ²⁶ ; Treister et al, 2014 ²⁴ ; Pascoe et al, 2015 ²⁷ ; Jeon et al, 2016 ²⁵ ; Yengo-Kahn et al, 2017 ³	2/6 (33%)
Soccer	5 (19)	5 (4)	Edmondson et al, 2014 ³⁶ ; Takizawa et al, 2015 ¹⁸ ; Ellis et al, 2018 ²⁰ ; Furtado et al, 2019 ³⁵ ; Mummareddy et al, 2019 ⁴	3/5 (60%)
Ice hockey	3 (14)	3 (2)	Ellis et al, 2015 ³⁴ ; Bonfield and Kondziolka 2016 ²⁸ ; Degen et al, 2016 ²	2/3 (67%)
Judo	3 (14)	3 (2)	Takizawa et al, 2015 ¹⁸ ; Yokota and Ida, 2016 ²⁹ ; Scramstad et al, 2017 ³⁰	0/3 (0%)
Bicycle	2 (9)	2 (2)	Seddighi et al, 2012 ¹⁷ ; Takizawa et al, 2015 ¹⁸	0/2 (0%)
Boxing	2 (9)	2 (2)	Falvey and McCrory, 2015 ¹ ; Kariyanna et al, 2019 ¹⁹	1/2 (50%)
Equestrian	2 (9)	84 (66)	Guyton et al, 2013 ²³ ; Connor et al, 2019 ²²	0/2 (0)
Skiing	2 (9)	2 (2)	Mitsis et al, 2014 ³² ; Takizawa et al, 2015 ¹⁸	0/2 (0%)
Dodgeball	1 (5)	1 (1)	Ellis et al, 2016 ²¹	1/1 (100%)
Rugby	1 (5)	1 (1)	Tator et al, 2019 ³¹	0/1 (0%)
Snowboarding	1 (5)	1 (1)	Takizawa et al, 2015 ¹⁸	0/1 (0%)
Snowmobiling	1 (5)	13 (10)	Plog et al, 2014 ³³	0/1 (0%)
Taekwondo	1 (5)	1 (1)	Kertmen et al, 2012 ³⁷	0/1 (0%)
Weight-training	1 (5)	1 (1)	Park et al, 2013 ³⁸	0/1 (0%)
Totals	27	128	-	9/27 (33%)

 $\mathsf{RTP} = \mathsf{return-to-play}; \ \mathsf{bold} = \mathsf{RTP} \ \mathsf{information} \ \mathsf{included}.$

TABLE 2. Cases of Sport-Related Structural Brain Injuries With RTP Information

Authors and year	Ν	Sport/level	Injury	Management	Return to play
Mummareddy et al, 2019 ⁴	1	Soccer/high school	EDH (26 mm, 2 mm MLS)	Craniotomy	Returned 3 mo after surgery; no complications
Ellis et al, 2018 ²⁰	1	Soccer/high school	Multifocal small IPH (no mass effect)	Nonoperative	Told to avoid contact/collision sports
Yengo-Kahn et al, 2017 ³	3	Football/high school	Bifrontal SDH (3 mm R, 2 mm L, 0 mm MLS); R SDH (9 mm, 8 mm MLS); L SDH (12 mm, 10 mm MLS)	Nonoperative; craniotomy; hemicraniectomy	Told to avoid contact/collision sport; no RTP any sport; incapacitated
Degen et al, 2016 ²	1	Ice hockey/	L IPH 13 mm, L SDH 2 mm (0 mm MLS)	Nonoperative	Returned 3 mo postinjury; no complications
Ellis et al, 2016 ²¹	1	Dodgeball/middle school	Small L frontoparietal IPH (no mass effect)	Nonoperative	Returned to ice hockey before neurosurgical consultation; recommended to avoid contact sport after neurosurgical consultation
Ellis et al, 2015 ³⁴	1	lce hockey/middle school	Right occipital IPH (no mass effect)	Nonoperative	Avoid future contact/collision sports; remained symptomatic (headache, nausea, photophobia, irritability) 144 d postinjury
Falvey and McCrory, 2015 ¹	1	Boxing/professional	R SDH (10 mm, 0 mm MLS)	Nonoperative	SDH resolved at 8 wk postinjury; returned to professional boxing 3 mo after SDH resolved; no complications
Edmondson et al, 2014 ³⁶	1	Soccer/high school	L subacute SDH with arachnoid cyst (12 mm, 10 mm MLS)	Burr hole for SDH; arachnoid cyst no treatment	Placed on sports restriction until resolution of subdural hematoma; no further follow-up
Forbes et al, 2013 ³⁹	2	Football/high school	L SDH (11 mm, 6 mm MLS); L SDH (4 mm, 0 mm MLS)	Craniotomy; nonoperative	Avoid contact/collision sport for both

Characteristic	Value N =
Country, n (%)	
United States	22 (71.0%
Australia	4 (12.9%
Canada	2 (6.5%
England	1 (3.2%
Italy	1 (3.2%
New Zealand	1 (3.2%)
Years of experience, mean (SD), range	23.6 (14.0);
Level n (%)	
Youth	21 (67.7%
High school	24 (77.49
Collegiate	28 (90.39
Professional	27 (87.1%
Sport, n (%)	
American football	24 (77.49
Soccer	18 (58.19
Hockey	13 (41.09
Lacrosse	11 (35.49
Rugby	11 (35.49
Car Racing	6 (19.49
Boxing/mixed martial arts	4 (12.9%
Australian football	3 (9.7%
Basketball	2 (6.5%
Wrestling	2 (6.5%
Baseball	1 (3.2%
Gymnastics	1 (3.2%
Motorcross	1 (3.2%
Winter sports	1 (3.2%

TABLE 4. Operative Intervention in an Asymptomatic Athlete With **SRSBI**

Characteristic	Ν
If an athlete has suffered an SRSBI but remains asymptomatic, would you operate? n (%)	N = 31
No operation if asymptomatic (regardless of imaging)	12 (38.7%)
Yes operation though asymptomatic	19 (61.3%)
Among those who would operate on an asymptomatic patient, what is your indication for operating? n (%)	N = 19
Midline shift > 10 mm	12 (63.2%)
Size > 10 mm	10 (52.6%)
Midline shift > 5 mm	5 (26.3%)
Increased size on serial imaging	3 (15.8%)
Any cortical mass effect	1 (5.3%)
Depends on discussion with patient	1 (5.3%)

and 15% for high school and collegiate athletes, respectively. RTP with persistent hemorrhage was more conservative with 41% not allowing any sports. RTP after burr holes was most commonly at 6 mo (50%). The majority allowed RTP at 6 mo (43%) postcraniotomy.

Effect of Level of Play on RTP Decisions

After determining RTP Assessment Scores and aggregating all question responses to combine all levels of play, a shift in RTP responses was seen, with earlier RTP recommended for increasing level of play (Figure 1). Mean RTP Assessment Scores for each level of play are summarized (Figure 2A). Linear regression demonstrated that earlier RTP was recommended for increasing higher levels of play (college and professional sports) (β = -0.58, 95% CI -0.111, -0.005, P = .033) (Figure 2B). Of note, the dependent variable (RTP Assessment Score) was a categorical variable converted to an ordinal scale, and thus no numerical concluding statement was made.

DISCUSSION

Value N = 31

23.6 (14.0); 5-50

Though neurosurgeons are frequently involved in determining RTP following SRSBI, evidenced-based recommendations addressing the nuances of these injuries do not exist. A 2012 systematic review revealed little evidence to guide RTP decision making, and published expert opinion^{6,9} appears to differ from what actually occurs in practice.¹⁰ This study sought to address this complex topic through an updated literature review and expert insights, in order to provide a summary that neurosurgeons may consider when counseling athletes on RTP following SRSBI.

Key Results

The post-2012 systematic review yielded only 12 patients suffering from SRSBI with a wide variety of RTP decisions. Of the 5 patients who underwent operations, only 1 returned to sports without restrictions, at 3 mo postoperatively following EDH evacuation. Of 7 patients managed nonoperatively, the predominant injury patterns were IPH (n = 3) or SDH varying from 2 to 10 mm in maximal thickness (n = 4). No patients with midline shift >5 mm were managed nonoperatively. Only 2 of 7 patients managed nonoperatively returned to sport (both at 3 mo postinjury) without apparent restriction, the remaining 5 were advised to avoid contact/collision sports indefinitely. These findings suggest wider variability in decision-making than the 1-yr benchmark that previously published expert opinion suggested.6,11

Expert survey results among 31 international sports neurosurgeons revealed a variety of important trends. While 38.7% would not operate on an acute asymptomatic SRSBI regardless of imaging, 61.3% would operate if midline shift and/or hemorrhage size >10 mm were present. Responses to each question were relatively heterogeneous, as a similar portion responded with either no RTP or return after 6 to 12 mo. Very few (\sim 3%) respondents considered RTP prior to 6 mo post-injury in any of the scenarios. A statistically significant association between level of play and management was seen, where earlier RTP was recommended for more elite athletes.

	High school	Collegiate	Professional
Previous SRSBI, asymptomatic and resolved hemorrhage, when RTP? n, (%)	N = 27	N = 27	N = 27
No RTP to any sport	-	-	-
No RTP to collision; encourage less contact sport	6 (22.2%)	4 (14.8%)	2 (7.4%)
3 mo	-	-	-
6 mo	9 (33.3%)	10 (37.0%)	11 (40.7%)
9 mo	-	1 (3.7%)	1 (3.7%)
1 yr	10 (37.0%)	9 (33.3%)	9 (33.3%)
2 yr	2 (7.4%)	3 (11.1%)	4 (14.8%)
Previous SRSBI, asymptomatic and hemorrhage still present, when RTP? n, (%)	N = 28	N = 27	N = 27
No RTP to any sport	11 (39.2%)	11 (40.7%)	11 (40.7%)
No RTP to collision; encourage less contact sport	13 (46.4%)	11 (40.7%)	10 (37.0%)
3 mo	1 (3.6%)	-	-
6 mo	2 (7.1%)	4 (14.8%)	5 (18.5%)
9 mo	1 (3.6%)	1 (3.7%)	1 (3.7%)
1 yr	-	-	-
2 yr	-	-	-
Previous burr holes, asymptomatic and resolved hemorrhage, when RTP? n, (%)	N = 30	N = 30	N = 30
No RTP to any sport	-	1 (3.3%)	1 (3.3%)
No RTP to collision; encourage less contact sport	11 (36.7%)	8 (26.7%)	4 (13.3%)
3 mo postop	-	1 (3.3%)	1 (3.3%)
6 mo postop	11 (36.7%)	10 (33.3%)	14 (46.7%)
9 mo postop	-	1 (3.3%)	-
1 yr postop	7 (23.3%)	8 (26.7%)	8 (26.7%)
2 yr postop	1 (3.3%)	1 (3.3%)	2 (6.7%)
Previous craniotomy, asymptomatic and resolved hemorrhage, when RTP? n, (%)	N = 30	N = 30	N = 30
No RTP to any sport	2 (6.7%)	2 (6.7%)	2 (6.7%)
No RTP to collision; encourage less contact sport	15 (50.0%)	12 (40.0%)	9 (30.0%)
3 mo postop	-	1 (3.3%)	1 (3.3%)
6 mo postop	10 (33.3%)	12 (40.0%)	12 (40.0%)
9 mo postop	1 (3.3%)	1 (3.3%)	2 (6.7%)
1 yr postop	2 (6.7%)	2 (6.7%)	3 (10.0%)
2 yr postop	-	-	1 (3.3%)

Interpretation

The systematic review confirmed the scarcity of published evidence and heterogeneity of RTP recommendations provided. Our survey of experts confirmed the wide-ranging neurosurgical opinions for these complex decisions. In terms of operative management, the fact that nearly 40% of neurosurgeons surveyed would not operate acutely on an asymptomatic athlete with structural brain injury irrespective of imaging findings emphasizes the unique clinical considerations in this population. However, most respondents utilized imaging indications for surgery similar to guidelines published for acute SDH, >10 mm in thickness or >5 mm of midline shift, though the majority considered midline shift >10 mm.⁴⁰ The systematic review suggested published cases adhered to these guidelines as nearly all patients with SDH meeting these criteria underwent surgical interventions and those with smaller hemorrhages did not.

In considering RTP decisions, numerous consensus and evidence-based guidelines exist for RTP following SRC and

general pediatric mild traumatic brain injury.^{12,41,42} These guidelines almost uniformly recommend a RTP process involving a graduated activity paradigm once the athlete has achieved asymptomatic status. While these guidelines should be followed in the context of SRSBI, they do not adequately address the additional nuances of structural brain injuries. These nuances include whether there has been resolution of imaging abnormalities, whether a craniotomy was performed, and what degree of risk of subsequent structural injury is acceptable. Previously, authors have sought to provide limited expert opinion on some of these topics through case discussions⁹ and narrative reviews.^{6,43} Generally, these authors required athletes to be asymptomatic with resolution of intracranial hemorrhage as well as brain reexpansion in the case of SDH. Additionally, as Davis et al⁹ described, return to collision sports prior to 1 yr was not advisable following craniotomy as this is the length of time required for full bony fusion. Overall, these prior works do well to set the stage for needed guidance on SRSBI, but limitations in their scope necessitate the more direct approach used in the current study.





Our survey respondents were nearly split on whether to allow RTP to collision sports following craniotomy with resolution of symptoms and hemorrhage, with most respondents allowing RTP at 6 mo rather than 1 yr. These responses are more consistent with a prior survey of American Association of Neurological Surgeons members' cases of children who RTP after craniotomy for any reason in which over 30% reported RTP clearance at 3 to 6 mo following craniotomy and nearly all respondents reported RTP clearance before 1 yr.¹⁰

The results of the systematic review expectedly mirrored the heterogeneity of our survey results and previously published work. Of the 12 athletes included in the systematic review with RTP information, the 3 who returned to their original sport were cleared after 3 mo, a faster timeline than would be considered by most respondents to our survey. One high-school soccer player was returned 3 mo after a craniotomy, which was not recommended by any expert surveyed. The 2 remaining athletes who returned to their high-contact/collision sport were

professionals. Moreover, 4 younger athletes who recovered entirely following nonoperative management were withheld from contact/collision sports indefinitely, which was recommended only by less than a quarter of survey respondents. Additionally, prior expert opinion would also suggest that these athletes would generally be allowed to RTP by 1 yr postinjury.^{6,9} These results confirm the sparse evidence-based guidance at the neurosurgeon's disposal, and underscore the heterogeneity of sequalae and management.

Key Insight for Return to Play After SRSBI

Given the heterogeneity of RTP practices, a multimodal approach to the RTP decision is warranted, including neuropsychological testing, validated symptom checklists, postural stability testing, vestibular and oculomotor testing, and a thorough physical exam. These decisions should also consider the patient's initial clinical presentation, past medical and concussion history, and results from other investigations where applicable, such as visual field testing. Furthermore, the usual stepwise RTP process used after a concussion¹² should be followed after SRSBI. In the context of this survey, it was assumed that the athletes in question were asymptomatic without any lingering deficits and had already passed graded exertional protocols.

Based upon the survey results reported herein, we offer the following insight to guide the RTP process for highcontact/collision sport athletes. The survey results from 31 international neurosurgeons provide an expert perspective to guide RTP decisions after SRSBI. We acknowledge that these results reflect opinion only and do not represent formal evidence-based guidelines, consensus recommendations, or the official view of any registered neurosurgical society or organization with which the authors are affiliated. It must be strongly emphasized that the RTP decision involves much more than merely reviewing the diagnostic imaging results. Providers must ensure that athletes are apprised of all the potential short- and long-term risks associated with RTP following structural brain injury to make an informed decision, and athletes should be encouraged to seek multiple opinions.

- 1. In the acute management of SRSBI in an *asymptomatic* patient, operative indications are similar to nonathletes⁴⁰ and are most commonly hemorrhage size >10 mm, midline shift >10 mm, or increased size on serial imaging. It is assumed that if the athlete suffered an SRSBI and was symptomatic, operative management is pursued in accordance with Brain Trauma Foundation surgical management guidelines.^{40,44}
- 2. Following SRSBI with resolved hemorrhage, with or without burr holes, RTP, when considered, is not recommended prior to 6 mo postinjury.
- The majority of respondents do not recommend returning to high-contact/collision sports SRSBI with persistent hemorrhage on diagnostic imaging; however, resuming a low-contact sport may be considered. A minority of neurosurgeons allowed

RTP to collision sports, most commonly at the professional level.

- 4. Following craniotomy for SRSBI with symptom and hemorrhage resolution, respondents most frequently recommended changing to a low-contact sport. Respondents who allowed RTP considered 6 mo the earliest time to return to highcontact/collision sports.
- 5. Experts recommend RTP earlier for higher level of sport, where expert medical personnel are available for all practice and game day events.

Limitations

This study has several limitations. The systematic review was restricted to PubMed only, and it is possible that cases of SRSBI may have been missed if reported in other databases. In addition, it is also likely that cases of SRSBI exist that have not been published. The survey results were based on hypothetical patient scenarios, rather than documented clinical cases. In addition, no imaging was provided for each scenario, though this was done intentionally. While imaging would have allowed a better description of each case, it would have also limited the conclusions to that specific case, which conflicts with our objective to provide broad foundational insight on which more individualized RTP plans may be based. Conversely, the lack of imaging may have led to respondents misinterpreting questions. For example, "craniotomy" was left vague and the extent and location of the craniotomy may influence decision making, and these factors were not considered for this study. We believe that all respondents understood craniotomy to mean bone flap replacement during closure, and not decompressive hemicraniectomy, but this is another possible misinterpretation. Furthermore, the specific high-contact sport(s) in question were not outlined. The differences in frequency and amplitude of forces to the head vary significantly between sport, yet they were not accounted for in the current study.

Moreover, the sport neurosurgeons surveyed were overwhelmingly from North America without representation from South America or Africa. Thus, these results may not be applicable to sports commonly played in these regions and highlight a need to learn more about SRSBI in these areas of the world. To that end, it is possible that several experienced sport neurosurgeons may have been excluded inadvertently in the completion of this survey. Additionally, while most respondents had experience counseling all levels of athletes, experience was relatively weighted toward collegiate and professional athletes, which may have influenced responses. There were also no a priori criteria or qualifications for respondents to be included in the survey. In future studies, a codified set of clinical experience minimums may be considered.

The respondent neurosurgeons were intentionally blinded to the results of the systematic review prior to completing the survey. It is possible that some neurosurgeons may have responded differently if provided with the systematic review results prior to survey completion. We did not explore issues such as bone union following craniotomy, encephalomalacia, parenchymal calcification, or residual parenchymal T2-weighted MRI abnormalities. Similarly, for questions regarding persistent hemorrhage, it is conceivable that responses would vary by the length of time since injury; however, this was not addressed in the survey. In addition, the RTP decision is complex and involves many variables including the patient's clinical presentation, past medical history, physical examination findings, and results of neuropsychological testing and other investigations. The nature of our survey oversimplified the RTP process, and it is likely that the RTP decision requires additional neurological information not provided.

Finally, the summary of insight provided is based on an overall low level of evidence including mainly case reports and expert opinion. These insight are meant as a starting point for neurosurgeons faced with RTP decisions with the understanding that RTP decisions are multifaceted and require individualization.

CONCLUSION

Neurosurgeons are asked to clear athletes for return to sport after structural brain injury with little evidence-based guidance. Aggregated expert opinions based on a survey of 31 sports neurosurgeons from around the world yielded a variety of important trends regarding SRSBI and RTP, most importantly that level of play is an important factor in the RTP process. Until consensus recommendations or evidence-based guidelines are developed empirically, the resultant insight can provide preliminary guidance for all sports physicians, and specifically neurosurgeons, on a safe management paradigm to manage RTP after structural brain injury in athletes of varying levels of play.

Funding

This study did not receive any funding or financial support.

Disclosures

The authors have no personal, financial, or institutional interest in any of the drugs, materials, or devices described in this article.

REFERENCES

- Falvey EC, McCrory P. Because not all blows to the head are the same. Br J Sports Med. 2015;49(17):1091-1093.
- Degen RM, Fink ME, Callahan L, Fibel KH, Ramsay J, Kelly BT. Brain contusion with aphasia following an ice hockey injury. *Phys Sportsmed.* 2016;44(3):324-326.
- Yengo-Kahn AM, Gardner RM, Kuhn AW, Solomon GS, Bonfield CM, Zuckerman SL. Sport-related structural brain injury: 3 cases of subdural hemorrhage in american high school football. *World Neurosurg*. 2017;106:1055 e1055-1055 e1011.
- Mummareddy N, Legarreta AD, Yengo-Kahn AM, et al. Sport-related structural brain injury in high school soccer: epidural hemorrhage after a "Header". World Neurosurg. 2019;127:20-23.
- Yengo-Kahn A, Zuckerman SL. Letter: preventable deaths, video analysis, artificial intelligence, and the future of sport. *Neurosurgery*. 2020;86(3):E349-E350.
- Laker SR. Return-to-play decisions. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin North Am.* 2011;22(4):619-634, viii.

- Kucera KL, Yau RK, Register-Mihalik J, et al. Traumatic brain and spinal cord fatalities among high school and college football players—United States, 2005– 2014. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2017;65(52):1465-1469.
- Zuckerman SL, Kuhn A, Dewan MC, et al. Structural brain injury in sports-related concussion. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2012;33(6):E6: 1-12.
- Davis G, Marion DW, Le Roux P, Laws ER, McCrory P. Clinics in neurology and neurosurgery—extradural and subdural haematoma. *Br J Sports Med.* 2010;44(16):1139-1143.
- Saigal R, Batjer HH, Ellenbogen RG, Berger MS. Return to play for neurosurgical patients. World Neurosurg, 2014;82(3-4):485-491.
- Davis GA, Makdissi M, Bloomfield P, et al. Concussion guidelines in national and international professional and elite sports. *Neurosurgery*. 2020;87(2): 418-425.
- McCrory P, Meeuwisse WH, Dvorak J, et al. 5th international conference on concussion in sport (Berlin). Br J Sports Med. 2017;51(11):837.
- Lumba-Brown A, Yeates KO, Sarmiento K, et al. Diagnosis and management of mild traumatic brain injury in children: a systematic review. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2018;172(11):e182847.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Group P. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2009;62(10):1006-1012.
- Collins MW, Kontos AP, Okonkwo DO, et al. Statements of agreement from the targeted evaluation and active management (TEAM) approaches to treating concussion meeting held in Pittsburgh, October 15-16, 2015. *Neurosurgery*. 2016;79(6):912-929.
- Sullivan GM, Artino AR Jr. Analyzing and interpreting data from Likert-type scales. J Grad Med Educ. 2013;5(4):541-542.
- Seddighi A, Seddighi AS, Baqdashti HR. Asymptomatic presentation of huge extradural hematoma in a patient with arachnoid cyst. Br J Neurosurg. 2012;26(6):917-918.
- Takizawa K, Sorimachi T, Honda Y, et al. Chronic subdural hematomas associated with arachnoid cysts: significance in young patients with chronic subdural hematomas. *Neurol Med Chir.* 2015;55(9):727-734.
- Kariyanna PT, Charles A, Ahmed MF, et al. Rare case of bilateral cerebellar hemorrhage in a male boxer. Am J Med Case Rep. 2019;7(10):236-239.
- Ellis MJ, Barnes J, Cordingley DM, Bunge M, McDonald PJ, Ritchie L. Management of an adolescent athlete with sports-related concussion and intraparenchymal hemorrhage. *Curr Sports Med Rep.* 2018;17(1):7-9.
- Ellis MJ, McDonald PJ, Cordingley D, Mansouri B, Essig M, Ritchie L. Retirement-from-sport considerations following pediatric sports-related concussion: case illustrations and institutional approach. *Neurosurg Focus.* 2016;40(4):E8.
- Connor TA, Clark JM, Jayamohan J, et al. Do equestrian helmets prevent concussion? A retrospective analysis of head injuries and helmet damage from realworld equestrian accidents. *Sports Med Open.* 2019;5(1):19.
- Guyton K, Houchen-Wise E, Peck E, Mayberry J. Equestrian injury is costly, disabling, and frequently preventable: the imperative for improved safety awareness. *Am Surg.* 2013;79(1):76-83.
- Treister DS, Kingston SE, Zada G, et al. Concurrent intracranial and spinal subdural hematoma in a teenage athlete: a case report of this rare entity. *Case Rep Radiol.* 2014;2014:143408.
- Jeon YS, Lee JG, Chun YI, Cho J, Choe WJ. Traumatic pseudoaneurysm related to calcified nodules of cerebral convexity dura mater in an american college football player. J Cerebrovasc Endovasc Neurosurg. 2016;18(3):322-326.
- Weinstein E, Turner M, Kuzma BB, Feuer H. Second impact syndrome in football: new imaging and insights into a rare and devastating condition. *J Neurosurg Pediatr.* 2013;11(3):331-334.
- Pascoe HM, Phal PM, King JA. Progressive post traumatic tearing of an arachnoid cyst membrane resulting in intracystic and subdural haemorrhage. *J Clin Neurosci.* 2015;22(5):897-899.
- Bonfield CM, Kondziolka D. Beyond the game: the legacy of Bill Masterton. *Neurosurg Focus.* 2016;41(1):E9.
- Yokota H, Ida Y. Acute subdural hematoma in a judo player with repeated head injuries. World Neurosurg. 2016;91:671 e671-673.
- Scramstad C, Ellis MJ, Del Bigio MR. Community health consequences of a second-impact syndrome death following concussion. *Can J Neurol Sci.* 2017;44(2):207-208.
- Tator C, Starkes J, Dolansky G, Quet J, Michaud J, Vassilyadi M. Fatal second impact syndrome in rowan stringer, a 17-year-old rugby player. *Can J Neurol Sci.* 2019;46(3):351-354.

- 32. Mitsis EM, Riggio S, Kostakoglu L, et al. Tauopathy PET and amyloid PET in the diagnosis of chronic traumatic encephalopathies: studies of a retired NFL player and of a man with FTD and a severe head injury. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2014;4: e441.
- Plog BA, Pierre CA, Srinivasan V, Srinivasan K, Petraglia AL, Huang JH. Neurologic injury in snowmobiling. *Surg Neurol Int.* 2014;5:87.
- Ellis MJ, Leiter J, Hall T, et al. Neuroimaging findings in pediatric sports-related concussion. J Neurosurg Pediatr. 2015;16(3):241-247.
- Furtado LMF, Costa Val Filho JA, Ferreira RI, Mariano I. Intracranial arachnoid cyst rupture after mild TBI in children: have we underestimated this risk? *BMJ Case Rep.* 2019;12(4):1-3.
- Edmondson L, Upshaw JE, Tuuri RE. A 14-year-old male with a 10-week history of headaches. *Pediatr Ann.* 2014;43(6):220, 222-223.
- Kertmen H, Gurer B, Yilmaz ER, Sekerci Z. Chronic subdural hematoma associated with an arachnoid cyst in a juvenile taekwondo athlete: a case report and review of the literature. *Pediatr Neurosurg*. 2012;48(1):55-58.
- Park HR, Lee KS, Bae HG. Chronic subdural hematoma after eccentric exercise using a vibrating belt machine. J Korean Neurosurg Soc. 2013;54(3):265-267.
- Forbes JA, Zuckerman SL, He L, et al. Subdural hemorrhage in two highschool football players: post-injury helmet testing. *Pediatr Neurosurg*. 2013;49(1): 43-49.
- Bullock MR, Chesnut R, Ghajar J, et al. Surgical management of acute subdural hematomas. *Neurosurgery*. 2006;58(3 Suppl):S16–24; discussion Si-iv.

- Harmon KG, Drezner JA, Gammons M, et al. American Medical Society for Sports Medicine position statement: concussion in sport. Br J Sports Med. 2013;47(1):15-26.
- Giza CC, Kutcher JS, Ashwal S, et al. Summary of evidence-based guideline update: evaluation and management of concussion in sports: report of the Guideline Development Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology*. 2013;80(24):2250-2257.
- Miele VJ, Bailes JE, Martin NA. Participation in contact or collision sports in athletes with epilepsy, genetic risk factors, structural brain lesions, or history of craniotomy. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2006;21(4):E9.
- Lee JC, Rittenhouse K, Bupp K, et al. An analysis of Brain Trauma Foundation traumatic brain injury guideline compliance and patient outcome. *Injury*. 2015;46(5):854-858.

Supplemental digital content is available for this article at www. neurosurgery-online.com.

Appendix 1. Search terms used in updated systematic review.
Appendix 2. Survey.
Appendix 3. PRISMA guidelines.
Appendix 4. Summary of all 27 included studies.