

## **Health Care Providers' Perspectives on Engaging in a Clinical Data Research Network**

**Authors:** Kim Unertl, PhD<sup>a</sup>, Alecia M. Fair, DrPH<sup>b</sup>, Jacquelyn S. Favours, MPH, CHES<sup>c</sup>, Rowena J. Dolor, MD<sup>d</sup>, Duane Smoot, MD<sup>e</sup>, Consuelo H. Wilkins, MD, MSCI<sup>c</sup>,

<sup>a</sup> Department of Biomedical Informatics, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, TN, USA

<sup>b</sup> Vanderbilt Institute for Clinical Translational Research, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA

<sup>c</sup> Meharry-Vanderbilt Alliance, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Meharry Medical College, Nashville, TN, USA

<sup>d</sup> Duke Clinical Research Institute, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, NC

<sup>e</sup> Department of Medicine, Meharry Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA

**Background:** Partnerships between healthcare providers and researchers could increase the generalizability of research findings and increase uptake of research results across populations. Yet engaging clinicians in research is challenging.

**Objective:** Elicit healthcare provider perspectives on barriers to involvement in and attitudes towards research.

**Methods:** Using a multi-level community engagement approach, the Mid-South Clinical Research Data Network conducted semi-structured interviews with clinicians from various disciplines and healthcare settings. Inductive content analysis was used to analyze the data for emerging themes using Dedoose.

**Results:** The 59 participants include physicians, nurses, pharmacists and other clinicians and represented a range of health care settings including hospitals, private practice and community health centers. Emerging themes were: 1) desire to participate in research relevant and beneficial to practice's patient population; 2) need to maintain efficiency of provider/practice; 3) desire for clarity regarding roles and time commitment; 4) need for compensation, incentives or public recognition for involvement; 5) need to maintain trust of patients, and 6) need to become more familiar with research and opportunities available to providers.

**Conclusion:** This study provides an in-depth understanding on the reasons providers decide to or not to be involved in research. It also demonstrates how to improve academic-provider partnerships as well as increase providers' level of involvement and /or responsibilities on research projects. Findings can be used to guide the development of strategies to better engage providers in research in clinical settings, which could ultimately improve patient outcomes.

## Mid-South CDRN Provider Interview Abstract