

ScienceDirect



Discovering protective CD8 T cell epitopes—no single immunologic property predicts it!

Pavlo Gilchuk^{1,2}, Timothy M Hill², John T Wilson³ and Sebastian Joyce^{1,2}



Once a burgeoning field of study, over the past decade or so, T cell epitope discovery has lost some luster. The contributory factors perchance are the general notion that any newly discovered epitope will reveal very little about an immune response and that knowledge of epitopes are less critical for vaccine design. Despite these notions, the breadth and depth of T cell epitopes derived from clinically important microbial agents of human diseases largely remain ill defined. We review here a flurry of recent reports that have rebirthed the field. These reports reveal that epitope discovery is an essential step toward rational vaccine design and critical for monitoring vaccination efficacy. The new findings also indicate that neither immunogenicity nor immunodominance predict protective immunity. Hence, an immunogenic epitope is but a peptide unless proven protective against disease.

Addresses

¹ Veterans Administration Tennessee Valley Healthcare System, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37332, USA ² Department of Pathology, Microbiology and Immunology, School of

Medicine, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37332, USA ³Department of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering, School of Engineering, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37332, USA

Corresponding author: Joyce, Sebastian (sebastian.joyce@vanderbilt.edu)

Current Opinion in Immunology 2015, 34:43–51

This review comes from a themed issue on Antigen processing Edited by Nilabh Shastri and Jonathan Yewdell

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.coi.2015.01.013

0952-7915/© 2015 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Introduction: prevention is better than cure

We all agree with the age-old adage 'prevention is better than cure'. Vaccination has accomplished this for many infectious diseases, thereby significantly reducing morbidity and mortality. Yet several current scourges defy our best efforts at effective vaccine development. The poor success of the much anticipated vaccine trials against human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immunodeficiency disease syndrome (HIV/AIDS), tuberculosis (TB) and malaria causes pause to re-think strategies for knowledge-based vaccine design and vaccination. Even though many agree that an effective vaccine should target both humoral and cellular arms of the adaptive immune system, most effort is invested in vaccine-induced antibody-mediated protective immunity. Recent years have seen increased focus on developing T cell-targeted vaccines. T cell-targeted vaccine development poses two technical challenges: one pertains to difficulties associated with the discovery of critical T cell targets comprised of microbial epitopes that are efficiently and abundantly presented during a natural infection. Another challenge pertains to defining protective epitopes in humans, which in most cases can be learnt only by indirect approaches that include protection studies in surrogate animal models and/or establishing correlates of protection in humans. Knowledge of such protective epitopes will facilitate the design of novel vaccines and the generation of critical reagents to track the host T cell response to vaccines in real time.

Refinement of existing techniques and/or the development of newer methods enhance studies of biologic processes with increased sensitivity, specificity and reproducibility. Since the revelation that MHC restriction entailed intracellular processing of proteins to short peptides and their cell surface presentation by MHC molecules to T cells, numerous approaches have been developed to identify T cell epitopes [1°]. Herein we briefly review a few of these approaches, starting with the characterization of naturally processed epitopes (Box 1) to the recent advances in proteogenomics approaches for the discovery of alloreactive and tumorspecific T cell epitopes.

Many ways to discover T cell epitopes

The different approaches to discover T cell epitopes have been reviewed recently [1[•]] and, hence, not all are belabored here. The most popular of these is algorithm-based epitope prediction coupled with biochemical and immunologic validation. From the large collection of all known MHC-restricted peptides and epitopes deposited in the Immune Epitope Data Base (IEDB: [27[•]]) and SYF-PEITHI [28[•]], epitope prediction algorithms have been developed. NetMHC-3.0 — an artificial neural networksbased prediction algorithm — allows rapid identification of microbial T cell epitopes [29[•]]. Epitope prediction is highthroughput and effective for microbes with small proteomes such as those of viruses the largest of which express ~250–300 open reading frames (ORFs). Experiments

Box 1 A walk down memory lane with Stan Nathenson et alii

The 1980s and 1990s were exciting times for students of antigen processing and presentation and T cell biology. By this time immunologists and geneticists had established that the antigen(s) coded by the Major histocompatibility complex (Mhc) controlled allogeneic skin and tumor graft rejection both in mice and men [2°,3°°]. As well, the 1970s witnessed the first descriptions of MHC restriction [4**,5**] - a process that controlled host T and B cell responses to proteins, viruses, and bacteria. These two seemingly distinct immunologic recognition processes needed a biochemical definition. By the late 1970s and early 1980s, Nathenson and colleagues had devised ways to cleave MHC class I molecules from cell surfaces and adapted a radiochemical method which, coupled with Edman degradation, unveiled the first primary structure of his favorite MHC molecule - H2-K^b. Immediately thereafter, primary structures of several other MHC molecules were determined [6**,7**].

Having unraveled the primary structures of several mouse and human MHC class I and class II molecules, the stage was set to elucidate the biochemical basis of MHC restriction. Prior to this, from the works of Unanue and colleagues, it was known that the activities of T lymphocytes were intimately linked to their interactions with macrophages, whose purpose was to process antigens [8**,9**,10**]. So also, it was known that nucleo-cytoplasmic proteins, notably the SV40 T antigen and influenza A nucleoprotein and derived peptides, or proteins deliberately delivered to the cytosol by fusion of non-replicative influenza A virus or by osmotic shock (e.g., ovalbumin) were targets of class I-restricted CD8 T cells [11**,12**,13**,14**,15**]. The *in vitro* binding studies that followed [16**,17**] and the solution of the three-dimensional structure of an HLA class I molecule - HLA-A*02;01 [18**,19**], revealed that the MHC was a receptor for processed peptides with a single binding site. The question now became, what sorts of peptides do MHC molecules bind and display to T cells in vivo? This was a burning question for MHC and T cell enthusiasts in the mid to late 1980s and early 1990s.

The radiochemical approach - invented to determine the amino acid sequences of peptides and proteins that were available in limited quantities [7**] - returned yet another time to unveil the biology of MHC molecules. The first three-dimensional structure of A*02;01 had revealed that the binding site was occupied by a conglomerate of ligands whose identities eluded Bjorkman, Strominger, Wiley and colleagues [18**]. The general notion was that not a few or several but numerous peptides were bound in that A*02;01 antigen-binding groove indicating that the isolation of associated ligands in sufficient quantities to permit amino acid sequence determination by Edman method would be challenging. Hence, Nathenson and Grada Van Bleek reasoned that if cells infected with a virus that shuts off host protein synthesis (a la vesicular stomatitis virus, VSV) were tagged with radiolabelled amino acids, the tag would get incorporated into newly synthesized viral proteins. The peptides processed from the radiolabelled viral proteins would then be available for binding to MHC class I molecules. Such peptides could then be isolated from the restricting class I molecule and subjected to Edman sequencing. Indeed, the skilled execution of this experiment revealed one of the first naturally processed peptide antigens isolated from an MHC molecule: the VSV N protein-derived RGYVYQGL [20**]! Concurrently, Rammenssee and colleagues deploying a completely different approach, had extracted specific influenza virus-derived peptides from whole infected cells and determined the identities of the two distinct peptides that were presented by H2-K^d and H2-D^b molecules [21**,22**,23**,24**]. All of these studies culminated in a molecular definition of MHC restriction.

These initial reports were shortly followed by direct sequencing of individual peptides eluted from MHC with the aid of mass spectrometry [25**,26**]. Advances in mass spectrometers and proteomics

technologies and platforms have since paved the way to directly elucidate the amino acid sequences of antigenic peptides. The nature of naturally processed peptide antigens derived from numerous re-emerging and newly emerging pathogens — for example, Dengue, Marburg, Ebola, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, *Plasmodium vivax*, and *P. falciparum* — yet remains. This knowledge is a prerequisite to track protective immunity in experimental models and in vaccine trials.

using the power and rapidity of predictive algorithms coupled with T cell-based validation have resulted in the discovery of numerous putative and confirmed immune epitopes that are deposited in the IEDB.

Whilst algorithms rapidly predict T cell epitopes, it neither predicts whether such peptides are presented during a natural infection nor their immunogenicity unless empirically determined [30–32]. The development of several transgenic (tg) mice expressing major HLA class I alleles [33^{••}] provides a preclinical, small animal model to validate the immunologic properties of the putative epitopes [30,34[•]]. Comparative analysis showed that there is some but not complete overlap between CD8 T cell epitopes recognized by immune HLA tg mouse and vaccinated volunteers, suggesting that with some limitations, such a model is suitable for studying HLA class I restricted immune epitopes [34[•],35].

Discovery of T cell epitopes from larger microbes such as *M. tuberculosis* and *Plasmodium* spp. by using prediction algorithms would be challenging because the expressed genome of these microbes can encode \sim 4000–6000 proteins. Sette and colleagues have found that the smallpox vaccine — that is, vaccinia virus (VACV), which encodes \sim 250 ORFs — yielded an unwieldy number of putative epitopes that are homologous to variola proteome and are presented by the six major HLA class I supertypes (see Ref. [36]) using predictive IC₅₀ algorithm. To narrow the focus, a cut-off of the top ten best binding peptides per VACV protein per supertype was set, thereby yielding ~6055 predicted epitopes. Of these, T cell-based validation unveiled 48 CD8 T cell epitopes recognized by VACV-immunized volunteers [37]. Modeling on this approach and scaling-up to account for the larger proteomes of mycobacterium and plasmodium in comparison to VACV, one would expect over one million putative CD8 T cell epitopes. In actuality however, epitopes presented by bacteria-infected or parasite-infected cells would be expected to be narrower as compared to those displayed upon viral infections ([38] and our unpublished observation). This is perhaps because viruses translate their ORFs and some their ARFs (alternate reading frames) on host ribosomes. DRiPs (defective ribosomal products) generated from the translation of ORFs and ARFs are a substantial source of antigenic peptides [39,40]. In contrast, bacteria and parasites translate their genomes on their own ribosomes, wherein DRiPs may be

lost to rapid degradation and, hence, are unavailable for presentation. This may explain why an earlier study reported only three overlapping M. tuberculosis-derived naturally processed epitopes [38]. Functional validation of such a large number of predicted epitopes would be challenging, requiring newer approaches that can rapidly and precisely inform immune epitopes/vaccine candidates.

In this regard it is noteworthy that several groups have recently reported a proteogenomic approach that allows T cell epitope discovery from species with large proteomes such as ours and mice. This approach has led to the discovery of several cancer-specific as well as minor histocompatibility alloantigen-derived CD8 T cell epitopes [41^{••},42^{••},43^{••},44^{••},45^{••}]. Proteogenomic approach entails first defining the tumor transcriptome in relationship to the same individual's non-cancerous genome or transcriptome in order to identify non-synonymous single nucleotide polymorphisms (nsSNP). The translated mutant proteome is subjected to T cell epitope prediction using NetMHC-3.0. This information then allows the search for variant peptides within the material eluted from a given MHC molecule using the mass spectrometry experiment called multiple reaction monitoring (MRM). From the resulting naturally processed tumor epitopes, immunogenicity was predicted in silico with both immunogenicity and protection validated in vivo [41^{••}.42^{••}. 43^{••}]. Or alternatively, the proteogenomics approach can involve first an in-depth analysis of MHC associated self peptidome or ligandome — the collection of peptides derived from self proteins associated with a test MHC molecule. The potential variation within each peptide that is caused by nsSNP is ascertained from the genomes or transcriptomes of allogeneic or cancer cells and validated in immunologic assays [44^{••},45^{••}].

An adaptation of this approach would be to determine the *in vivo* microbial transcriptome and/or proteome during a natural infection — for example, the translated proteome of pre-erythrocytic stage plasmodium induced within infected hepatocytes — to focus in on proteins that contain potential T cell epitopes [46]. Such an approach combines the relative ease of transcriptome/proteome

determination, the rapidity of epitope prediction, and the ever-increasing sensitivity of mass spectrometers for the discovery of naturally processed T cell epitopes.

Many determinants are presented yet only a few are recognized

Several T cell epitopes are known to emerge from a single microbial protein. For example, the simian virus-40 (SV-40) large T antigen contains one H2K^b-restricted and three H2D^b-restricted epitopes [47]. Similarly, multiple T cell epitopes are known to emerge from a single microbe; for example, several H2^b-restricted epitopes are presented during a natural influenza A virus (IAV) infection of C57BL/6 mouse [48] and HLA-restricted HIV epitopes (http://www.hiv.lanl.gov). Despite these and numerous other similar studies (e.g. [30]) the breadth and depth of microbial determinants displayed by an MHC class I molecule remain unknown.

A few groups employed a proteomics approach to answer this question: peptides associated with HLA class I molecules expressed by uninfected and VACV-infected cells were eluted and their sequences determined by mass spectrometry [34°,49,50]. The emerging data indicated that numerous VACV-derived peptides/proteins were processed and presented by HLA class I molecules during a natural infection (e.g., Table 1; [34[•],49,50]). Despite the presentation of numerous peptide determinants or the existence of numerous predicted epitopes, upon infection immune T cells arise only against a subset of these peptides (Table 1; [34, 37]). Moreover, the identification of naturally processed determinants precisely informed immune epitopes and vaccine candidates because immune T cells recognized a large fraction of stably presented VACV-derived peptides and/or conferred protective immunity upon epitope vaccination [34[•]]. Nevertheless, there was only partial overlap between immune epitopes identified by the two approaches — algorithm-based prediction versus elution and proteomics — indicating that a combination of both approaches as in the proteogenomic approach could be powerful in the initial identification of potentially protective CD8 T cell epitopes.

Table 1									
Summary of naturally processed CD8 T cell epitopes									
HLA	Total peptide sequences ^{**}	VACV derived homologous to VARV	Immune epitopes: eluted versus predicted epitopes	CD8 T cell reactive (human/mouse)					
A*02;01 B*07;02	~2500 ~1200	109 65	17/25 2/8	31/18 (9) ^{***} 15/10 (7)					

VACV, vaccinia virus; VARV, variola virus - the agent of smallpox.

* See Refs. [30,34*,37,49,51,52].

** Large majority were host cell-derived self-peptides. Peptides (our unpublished data).

^{***} Common epitopes recognized by human and mouse CD8 T cells.

One question that emerged from the afore studies is 'how does the host benefit from presenting so many determinants by a given HLA class I molecule (Table 1; [34[•],49,50])?' Presentation of a broad array of VACV determinants might underlie cross-protective immunity against heterologous poxviral infections and might underlie the success of vaccinating against smallpox with cowpox virus or VACV. Yet another answer might lie in human CD8 T cell response to VACV. Several groups have reported that vaccinated volunteers expressing the same HLA class I molecule recognize different subsets of partially overlapping VACV-derived epitopes, suggesting a variegated pattern of recognition (e.g., Table 2; [34[•],37,51,52]). Therefore, it is possible that the presentation of numerous class I-restricted determinants ensures the recognition of at least one epitope (see Table 2; [34[•],37,51,52]). A population-wide study is needed to test this hypothesis. As well, such studies could lead to an understanding of population genetics of variegated responses. Together they have the potential to inform vaccine design and vaccination strategies and, hence, are worthy of investment.

Table 2

Variegated pattern of naturally processed B*07;02-restricted epitope recognition by smallpox vaccines*



* See Ref. [34•] for details.

Anchor residues are in bold.

^{\$} Interferon-γ spot-forming cells over background per million volunteer peripheral blood mononuclear cells. Intensity of red, hierarchy within an individual; green, no response.

The sensitive yet cross-reactive TCR: an oxymoron?

The T cell receptor (TCR) is very sensitive: it is capable of recognizing and responding to one-to-ten molecules of an antigen [53,54]. Additionally, it can discriminate between two peptides differing by a methylene group or a methyl and a hydroxyl group in an accessory anchor — for example, H4 minor histocompatibility alloantigens [55,56]. This sensitivity coupled with a rather loose 'recognition logic' with which the TCR interfaces its cognate antigen — the p/MHC [57–59] — makes it highly cross reactive.

The estimated frequency of T cell cross reactivity to unrelated peptides is 1/30,000 [60]. In search of the H4 alloantigen using a pep-scan approach, we discovered that an H4^b-reactive CD8 T cell line recognized ~100 different peptides [61] — that is, mimotopes — yet did not yield the primary structure of the actual epitope [55,56]. This was not peculiar to the alloreactive TCR because the SV-40 epitope-4 specific and herpes simplex virus 1 gB-reactive T cell clones showed extensive cross reactivity as well. A common feature between the mimotopes recognized by the three CD8 T cell clones was they contained a TCR-specific recognition motif consisting of one or two conserved putative solvent exposed residues that can be contacted by the receptor. At the other extreme, a single autoimmune TCR has recently been shown to recognize over a million different peptides within a broad cross-reactivity profile [62]. Such cross reactivity is not peculiar to MHC class I-restricted TCRs as several class II-restricted TCRs were shown to cross react in a similar manner (see [63^{••},64] and references therein). The cross-reactive feature of the TCR further underscores the critical need for comprehensive immunologic validation of an identified epitope. Furthermore, inclusion of structural features of p/MHC as well as TCRp/MHC binding and interactions (e.g. [57-59,65]) into newer iterations of algorithms can enhance their predictive power [63^{••}].

Immunogenicity and immunodominance: it ain't what it used to be!

A large number of T cell epitopes have populated the IEDB and other data bases. Even for pathogens with relatively small genomes such as HIV there are zillions of known immune epitopes that arise from ORFs and even ARFs (http://www.hiv.lanl.gov). Nonetheless, in many cases, which of these epitopes form potent targets for vaccination requires further characterization. The correlates of protective immunity in humans are largely unknown, but the prevailing view is that epitope immunogenicity and immunodominance might be the best predictors of protective T cell responses. Immunogenicity is assessed as the ability to recruit the naïve precursors into the immune response upon epitope immunization. Immunodominance is a property of the adaptive immune response to complex antigens wherein antigen-specific

lymphocytes respond disproportionately to the different epitopes on the antigen. This feature of the immune response is more accentuated in inbred strains of mice than in outbred populations such as ours. Hence, immunodominance has been extensively studied in mice for example, CD8 responses to SV-40 T antigen, IAV, lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus and VACV (e.g. Refs. [47,48,66–68]). Multiple host factors — including the kinetics and dynamics of epitope generation and presentation, p/MHC stability, a diverse and functional T cell repertoire, precursor frequency, TCR avidity/dwelltime for cognate p/MHC and T cell competition for epitopes — control immunodominance [69–71].

A recent advance, which involves p/MHC tetramer-based enrichment allows enumeration of the naïve CD8 T cell precursors bearing antigen-specific TCR [69]. Many studies have shown that the magnitude of immune T cell response is roughly proportional to the naïve precursor frequency. Some immune epitopes violate this rule, however. Thus, despite relatively high naïve precursor frequency and high immunogenicity - as assessed by peptide immunization — several epitopes yielded subdominant CD8 T cell responses to VACV infection in mice, and vice versa (see Table 3). In the most striking case, a highly immunogenic B*07;02-restricted epitope in mice that has a very high naïve precursor frequency elicited a poor CD8 T cell response of low magnitude during viral infection (Table 3). This was due to poor and late epitope presentation [34[•]]. Furthermore, challenge studies in mice with VACV and the mousepox agent ectromelia virus revealed that subdominant epitopes can also elicit protective immunity as do immunodominant epitopes (Table 3; [31°,34°]). Hence, neither immunodominanance nor immunogenicity predicted the most protective epitopes when the entire panel was assessed (Table 3). In mice, therefore, the protective capability of individual T cell response upon epitope immunization might be a complex interplay between efficient processing of epitopes from cognate antigen, the presence of naïve precursors, as well as temporality and duration of epitope presentation by microbe-infected cells — none of which can be predicted by currently available algorithms.

Immunodominant T cell responses are observed in humans as well, wherein a preferential recognition of a particular epitope by a majority of the subjects within the cohort tested, even if the magnitude of the response is low, is considered immunodominance. Strikingly, however, several groups have reported that vaccinated volunteers expressing the same HLA class I molecule recognize different subsets of partially overlapping VACV-derived epitopes, suggesting a variegated pattern of recognition (e.g., Table 2). Hence, a clearly defined immunodominant VACV epitope(s) was not seen within the three study populations. That notwithstanding, a clear hierarchic response to the different epitopes was observed within each individual tested (e.g., Table 2; [34, 37, 51]). This finding suggests that the combination of HLA class I molecules (HLA haplotypes) can control CD8 T cell response. Indeed, immunodominance hierarchy was altered depending

Table 3	3
---------	---

Biochemical and immunologic properties of HLA-B*07;02-restricted and VACV-reactive CD8 T cell epitopes in B7.2 transgenic mice									
Amino acid sequence ^{**}	ORF	t _{1/2} (hour) ^{\$}	Precursor frequency (#/mouse)	Magnitude of response to VACV % (mean) ^{&}	Magnitude of response to peptide % (mean) [@]	Protective epitope [%]			
LPRPDTRHL	A34R ₈₂₋₉₀	1.52	2465	4.0–10 (6.81)	11.6–22.6 (15.0)	+++			
RPSTRNFFEL	D1R ₈₀₈₋₈₁₇	2.93	1892	4.0-8.7 (6.28)	1.2–27.4 (13.9)	+			
FPKNDFVSF	B8R ₇₀₋₇₉	5.2	1472	1.0-4.9 (2.38)	16.6–51.5 (35.0)	+++			
MPAYIRNTL	J6R ₃₀₃₋₃₁₁	5.44	521	0.3-1.8 (0.86)	11.9–51.4 (32.6)	+++			
HPRHYATVM	D1R _{686–694}	6.47	308	0.2-1.6 (0.7)	4.1–14.5 (10.1)	+			
SPSNHHILL	A3L ₁₉₂₋₂₀₀	5.46	134	0.3–1.3 (0.67)	14.4–34.5 (21.6)	+++			
FPTNTLTSI	I6L ₂₃₇₋₂₄₅	0.54	nd	0.01–0.8 (0.35)	nd	nd			
FPRSMLSIF	L4R ₃₇₋₄₅	6.08	6104	0.05–0.3 (0.17)	84.1–92 (86.5)	-			
LPKEYSSEL	D5R ₃₇₅₋₃₈₃	7.44	1240	0.07–0.3 (0.16)	8.3–22.6 (16.1)	+++			
APNPNRFVI	F4L ₆₋₁₄	8.81	668	0.03-0.5 (0.15)	17.7–75.2 (53.6)	+++			
RPMSLRSTII	O1L _{335–344}	5.31	nd	0-0.4 (0.14)	nd	nd			
RPRDAIRFL	E2L ₂₁₆₋₂₂₄	4.81	126	0.03-0.2 (0.11)	22.4–44.3 (24.5)	+			
RPNQHHTIDL	N2L ₁₀₄₋₁₁₃	4.73	nd	0.02-0.3 (0.1)	nd	nd			
FPYEGGKVF	E9L ₅₂₆₋₅₃₄	6.17	nd	0.01-0.1 (0.05)	nd	nd			

See Ref. [34[•]] for details.

** Listed in the order of hierarchy, see last column.

^{\$} Half-life of p/MHC stability.

[&] Range and mean of splenic responder CD8 T cells elicited by VACV infection.

[@] Range and mean of splenic responder CD8 T cells elicited by peptide immunization; nd, not determined.

[%] Protection from lethal intranasal VACV challenge of mice prime boosted with the test peptide: –, non-protective; + weakly protective — low VACV burden yet sustained weight loss as compared to mock control; +++, highly protective — low VACV burden and weight loss when compared to mock control.

on the mouse MHC haplotype and genetic background. This outcome was explained by alterations in naïve precursor frequency of CD8 T cell responders against VACV epitopes within the inbred versus F1 mice tested [66]. A recent paradigm-shifting study by Picker and colleagues uncovered a role for immunoregulation in shaping antiviral response that suggested a new avenue for epitope discovery: immunization with genetically engineered cytomegalovirus vectors induced a broad, protective SIV-specific CD8 T cell response that targeted unconventional (MHC class II restricted) and promiscuous (presented by multiple MHC alleles) epitopes [72^{••}]. If violation of rules of MHC restriction is more common than previously thought, then efforts so far will have underestimated the breadth of immune epitopes and missed protective antigens. The interplay between antigen presentation, measurable T cell response parameters, and microbial pathogenesis will be a matter for continued investigation to enable protective epitope discovery. The poor success of the recent T cell targeted vaccine trials against HIV/AIDS, malaria, and TB [73-76] clearly signal the need for reevaluating current strategies for protective T cell epitope discovery.

Note added in proof

In their report, which appeared after the submission of this review, Jenkins and colleagues (RW Nelson et al. Immunity 42: 95-107; 2015) addressed why TCRs are cross reactive and what the consequences might be. TCR cross reactivity to multiple different peptides was determined by sharing five residues within nonameric peptides (see also Refs. [61,63^{••}]). Such cross reactivity deleted a substantial pool of self reactive T cells and, thereby, reduced the size of the peripheral T cell repertoire reactive toward an antigen. As well, the maintenance of a cross reactive peripheral T cell repertoire pre-disposed the host to autoimmunity while responding to microbial infections.

Acknowledgements

We thank the contributions to the topics discussed here that were made by past members and collaborators of our laboratory. The authors and their works described herein were supported by a VA Merit Award (BX001444) as well as NIH Contract (AI040079) and Research Grants (HL054977, AI042284, and HL121139). TMH is supported by the US Army.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- · of special interest
- •• of outstanding interest
- Grubaugh D, Flechtner JB, Higgins DE: Proteins as T cell 1.
- antigens: methods for high-throughput identification. Vaccine 2013. 31:3805-3810.

An up-to-date review of the various methods used to identify and validate MHC-restricted T cell epitopes. Pros and cons of each method are discussed. Several methods - overlapping peptide scan, T-CAD (T cell antigen discovery), ATLAS (AnTigen Lead AcquisitionSystem), among others - and references to them, not discussed herein, can be found in this single source.

Davis D: The Compatibility Gene: How Our Bodies Fight Disease, 2. Attract Others, and Define Our Selves. Oxford University Press; 2014

An easy-to-read narrative of the history and science behind the discovery and biology of the Mhc - from 'groping the elephant' to molecular science of MHC-restriction and allograft rejection. It is as much of the science as of the people that helped write this history.

Snell GD. Dausset J. Nathenson SG: Histocompatibility. Academic 3.

 Press; 1976.
 Refs. [3**,4**,5**,6**,7**,8**,9**,10**,11**,12**,13**,14**,15**,16**,17**, 18**,19**,20**,21**,22**,23**,24**,25**,26**] are classics – a must read and results. for all biology students especially those seeking to pursue or pursuing immunobiology. This collection portrays how history is written.

Zinkernagel RM, Doherty PC: Immunological surveillance

against altered self components by sensitised T lymphocytes •• in lymphocytic choriomeningitis. Nature 1974, 251:547-548. See annotation to Ref. [3**].

5 Zinkernagel RM, Doherty PC: Restriction of in vitro T cell-

- mediated cytotoxicity in lymphocytic choriomeningitis within a •• syngeneic or semiallogeneic system. Nature 1974, 248:701-702. See annotation to Ref. [3**].
- 6. Klein J: Alone on the heart of the earth: an immunogeneticist's journey into the past. Adv Cancer Res 1994, 63:1-39. •• See annotation to Ref. [3"].
- Nathenson SG, Uehara H, Ewenstein BM, Kindt TJ, Coligan JE: 7. Primary structural: analysis of the transplantation antigens of •• the murine H-2 major histocompatibility complex. Annu Rev Biochem 1981, 50:1025-1052.

See annotation to Ref. [3**]

- 8. Unanue ER: From antigen processing to peptide-MHC binding. Nat Immunol 2006. 7:1277-1279.
- See annotation to Ref. [3**].
- 9. Ziegler HK, Unanue ER: Decrease in macrophage antigen
- catabolism caused by ammonia and chloroquine is associated with inhibition of antigen presentation to T cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1982, 79:175-178.

See annotation to Ref. [3"].

- 10. Ziegler HK, Unanue ER: Identification of a macrophage antigen-
- processing event required for I-region-restricted antigen ... presentation to T lymphocytes. J Immunol 1981, 127:1869-1875. See annotation to Ref. [3**].
- 11. Campbell AE, Foley FL, Tevethia SS: Demonstration of multiple antigenic sites of the SV40 transplantation rejection antigen by using cytotoxic T lymphocyte clones. J Immunol 1983, 130:

See annotation to Ref. [3**].

490-492

- 12. Townsend AR, McMichael AJ, Carter NP, Huddleston JA,
- Brownlee GG: Cytotoxic T cell recognition of the influenza nucleoprotein and hemagglutinin expressed in transfected mouse L cells. Cell 1984, 39:13-25.
- See annotation to Ref. [3"].
- 13. Moore MW, Carbone FR, Bevan MJ: Introduction of soluble protein into the class I pathway of antigen processing and ...
- presentation. Cell 1988, 54:777-785. See annotation to Ref. [3**].
- 14. Townsend AR, Bastin J, Gould K, Brownlee GG: Cytotoxic T lymphocytes recognize influenza haemagglutinin that lacks a signal sequence. Nature 1986, 324:575-577.
- See annotation to Ref. [3**].
- 15. Yewdell JW, Bennink JR, Hosaka Y: Cells process exogenous proteins for recognition by cytotoxic T lymphocytes. Science ••
- . 1988, **239**:637-640 See annotation to Ref. [3**].
- 16. Babbitt BP, Allen PM, Matsueda G, Haber E, Unanue ER: Binding of immunogenic peptides to la histocompatibility molecules. Nature 1985. 317:359-361. ••

See annotation to Ref. [3**].

- 17. Buus S, Colon S, Smith C, Freed JH, Miles C, Grey HM:
- •• Interaction between a processed ovalbumin peptide and la molecules. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1986, 83:3968-3971 See annotation to Ref. [3**].

- 18. Bjorkman PJ, Saper MA, Samraoui B, Bennett WS, Strominger JL, Wiley DC: Structure of the human class I histocompatibility •• antigen, HLA-A2. Nature 1987, 329:506-512.
- See annotation to Ref. [3**].
- 19 Bjorkman PJ, Saper MA, Samraoui B, Bennett WS, Strominger JL,
- Wiley DC: The foreign antigen binding site and T cell recognition regions of class I histocompatibility antigens. •• Nature 1987, 329:512-518.
- See annotation to Ref. [3**].
- 20. Van Bleek GM, Nathenson SG: Isolation of an endogenously processed immunodominant viral peptide from the class I .. H-2Kb molecule. Nature 1990, 348:213-216.
- See annotation to Ref. [3"].
- Falk K, Rotzschke O, Rammensee HG: Cellular peptide 21.
- composition governed by major histocompatibility complex class I molecules. *Nature* 1990, **348**:248-251. ••
- See annotation to Ref. [3**].
- Rotzschke O, Falk K, Deres K, Schild H, Norda M, Metzger J, 22.
- Jung G, Rammensee HG: Isolation and analysis of naturally •• processed viral peptides as recognized by cytotoxic T cells. Nature 1990, **348**:252-254. See annotation to Ref. [3**].
- Wallny HJ, Rammensee HG: Identification of classical minor 23. histocompatibility antigen as cell-derived peptide. Nature •• 1990. 343:275-278
- See annotation to Ref. [3**].
- 24. Falk K, Rotzschke O, Stevanovic S, Jung G, Rammensee HG
- Allele-specific motifs revealed by sequencing of self-peptides •• eluted from MHC molecules. Nature 1991, 351:290-296 See annotation to Ref. [3"].
- Hunt DF, Henderson RA, Shabanowitz J, Sakaguchi K, Michel H, 25

Sevilir N, Cox AL, Appella E, Engelhard VH: Characterization of peptides bound to the class I MHC molecule HLA-A2.1 by mass spectrometry. Science 1992, 255:1261-1263. See annotation to Ref. [3**].

- 26. Sette A, Ceman S, Kubo RT, Sakaguchi K, Appella E, Hunt DF,
- Davis TA, Michel H, Shabanowitz J, Rudersdorf R et al.: Invariant .. chain peptides in most HLA-DR molecules of an antigenprocessing mutant. Science 1992, 258:1801-1804. See annotation to Ref. [3**].
- 27. Vita R, Overton JA, Greenbaum JA, Ponomarenko J, Clark JD, Cantrell JR, Wheeler DK, Gabbard JL, Hix D, Sette A et al.: The

immune epitope database (IEDB) 3.0. Nucleic Acids Res 2014 Refs. [27°,28°,29°] describe the current versions of the two popular immune epitope data bases and prediction algorithms.

- Rammensee H, Bachmann J, Emmerich NP, Bachor OA, 28. Stevanovic S: SYFPEITHI: database for MHC ligands and peptide motifs. Immunogenetics 1999, 50:213-219. See annotation to Ref. [27*].
- 29. Lundegaard C, Lamberth K, Harndahl M, Buus S, Lund O, Nielsen M: NetMHC-3.0: accurate web accessible predictions of human, mouse and monkey MHC class I affinities for peptides of length 8-11. Nucleic Acids Res 2008, 36:W509-W512

See annotation to Ref. [27*].

- 30. Pasquetto V, Bui HH, Giannino R, Banh C, Mirza F, Sidney J, Oseroff C, Tscharke DC, Irvine K, Bennink JR et al.: HLA-A*0201, HLA-A*1101, and HLA-B*0702 transgenic mice recognize numerous poxvirus determinants from a wide variety of viral gene products. J Immunol 2005, 175:5504-5515
- Remakus S, Rubio D, Ma X, Sette A, Sigal LJ: Memory CD8+ T
 cells specific for a single immunodominant or subdominant determinant induced by peptide-dendritic cell immunization protect from an acute lethal viral disease. J Virol 2012, 86: 9748-9759

This work demonstrates three features of memory CD8 T cells that help understand protective immunity to poxviruses in a homotypic model using ECTV - the agent of mousepox - infection of mice: firstly, NK cell-mediated immunity to ECTV was dispensable in the presence of memory CD8 T cells, secondly, memory CD8 T cell response to a single epitope confered protection in a challenge model, and thirdly, subdominant memory CD8 T cell response to a single epitope could do the same. These findings suggested that the focus on immunodominant antigens for vaccine design may not be a wise strategy.

- Tscharke DC, Karupiah G, Zhou J, Palmore T, Irvine KR, Haeryfar SM, Williams S, Sidney J, Sette A, Bennink JR et al.: Identification of poxvirus CD8+ T cell determinants to enable rational design and characterization of smallpox vaccines. J Exp Med 2005, 201:95-104.
- 33. Boucherma R, Kridane-Miledi H, Bouziat R, Rasmussen M,
- Gatard T, Langa-Vives F, Lemercier B, Lim A, Berard M, Benmohamed L *et al.*: **HLA-A*01:03, HLA-A*24:02, HLA-B*08:01, HLA-B*27:05, HLA-B*35:01, HLA-B*44:02, and HLA-C*07:01** monochain transgenic/H-2 class I null mice: novel versatile preclinical models of human T cell responses. J Immunol 2013, **191**:583-593.

This report describes the generation and use of several HLA class I transgenic mice indicated in the title. The transgene construct encodes for the respective HLA $\alpha 1 \alpha 2$ domains fused with a mouse $\alpha 3$ domain and was introgressed into mouse H2 class I deficient background. The combination of mouse a3 domain and endogenous mouse class I deficiency encourages interactions between the recombinant HLA class I molecule and mouse CD8 molecules during T cell development and effector differentiation. These transgenic mice make a powerful small animal model for HLA-restricted CD8 T cell epitope discovery and validation as well as for pre-clinical protection studies.

34. Gilchuk P, Spencer CT, Conant SB, Hill T, Gray JJ, Niu X, Zheng M, Erickson JJ, Boyd KL, McAfee KJ *et al.*: Discovering naturally processed antigenic determinants that confer protective T cell immunity. J Clin Invest 2013, 123:1976-1987

This comprehensive proof-of-principle study identified potent CD8 T cell targets from a complex virome. It reports large-scale discovery and immunologic characterization of naturally processed antigenic determinants of VACV that are presented by five frequent HLA class I allotypes that represent four major class I supertypes (see Ref. [36]). Immunologic characterization revealed that, (a) memory CD8 T cell response to a single epitope confered protection in an intranasal VACV challenge model; (b) immunodomiance did not strictly segregate with naïve precurcor CD8 T cell frequency; and (c) a sub-domiant memory CD8 T cell response to a single epitope confered protection to intranasal VACV challenge. Hence, naturally processed HLA class I-restricted epitopes informed targets for CD8 T cell-based protective immunity.

- Kotturi MF, Assarsson E, Peters B, Grey H, Oseroff C, Pasquetto V, 35. Sette A: Of mice and humans: how good are HLA transgenic mice as a model of human immune responses? Immunome Res 2009. 5:3.
- 36. Sette A, Sidney J: Nine major HLA class I supertypes account for the vast preponderance of HLA-A and -B polymorphism. Immunogenetics 1999, 50:201-212.
- 37. Oseroff C, Kos F, Bui HH, Peters B, Pasquetto V, Glenn J, Palmore T, Sidney J, Tscharke DC, Bennink JR et al.: HLA class I-restricted responses to vaccinia recognize a broad array of proteins mainly involved in virulence and viral gene regulation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005, 102:13980-13985
- Flyer DC, Ramakrishna V, Miller C, Myers H, McDaniel M, Root K, Flournoy C, Engelhard VH, Canaday DH, Marto JA et al.: Identification by mass spectrometry of CD8(+)-T-cell Mycobacterium tuberculosis epitopes within the Rv0341 gene product. Infect Immun 2002, 70:2926-2932.
- 39. Anton LC, Yewdell JW: Translating DRiPs: MHC class I immunosurveillance of pathogens and tumors. J Leukoc Biol 2014. 95:551-562
- 40. Cardinaud S, Moris A, Fevrier M, Rohrlich PS, Weiss L, Langlade-Demoyen P, Lemonnier FA, Schwartz O, Habel A: Identification of cryptic MHC I-restricted epitopes encoded by HIV-1 alternative reading frames. J Exp Med 2004, 199:1053-1063.
- 41. Duan F, Duitama J, Al Seesi S, Ayres CM, Corcelli SA,
- Pawashe AP, Blanchard T, McMahon D, Sidney J, Sette A et al.: Genomic and bioinformatic profiling of mutational neoepitopes reveals new rules to predict anticancer immunogenicity. *J Exp Med* 2014, **211**:2231-2248. Refs. [41^{••},42^{••},43^{••}] describe the proteogenomic approach to tumor-

specific CD8 T cell epitope discovery. This version of the proteogenomic approach entailed first defining the tumor transcriptome in relationship to the same individual's non-cancerous genome or transcriptome. Such an analysis led to the identification of nsSNP. The translated mutant proteome (mutome, in some corners) was subjected to T cell epitope prediction using NetMHC-3.0 algorithm. This information then allowed the search for variant peptides within the material eluted from a given MHC molecule in MRM experiments. From the resulting naturally processed tumor epitopes, immunogenicity was predicted in silico with both immunogenicity and protection validated in vivo. Ref. [42**] also demonstrated that immunization with novel cancer epitopes coupled with checkpoint blockade treatment can be powerful immunotherapy against cancers

- 42
- Gubin MM, Zhang X, Schuster H, Caron E, Ward JP, Noguchi T, Ivanova Y, Hundal J, Arthur CD, Krebber WJ *et al.*: **Checkpoint** blockade cancer immunotherapy targets tumour-specific mutant antigens. Nature 2014, 515:577-581.
- See annotation to Ref. [41**].
- 43. Yadav M, Jhunjhunwala S, Phung QT, Lupardus P, Tanguay J,
- Bumbaca S, Franci C, Cheung TK, Fritsche J, Weinschenk T et al.: Predicting immunogenic tumour mutations by combining mass spectrometry and exome sequencing. Nature 2014, **515**:572-576.

See annotation to Ref. [41**].

- Kowalewski DJ, Schuster H, Backerta L, Berlina C, Kahn S, Kanz L, 44
- Salih HR, Rammenseea H-G, Stevanovic S, Stickel JS: HLA ligandome analysis identifies the underlying specificities of spontaneous antileukemia immune responses in chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL). Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2015.

112:E166-E175. Refs. [44**,45**] describe the discovery of novel cancer-specific and alloantigen-derived CD8 T cell epitopes using a modification of the proteogenomics approach described above. In this approach, an indepth analysis of HLA class I-associated self-peptidome/ligandome was performed first. Then the potential variation within each peptide that was caused by snSNPs was ascertained from the genomes or transcriptomes of allogeneic or cancer cells and validated in immunologic assays.

- 45. Granados DP, Sriranganadane D, Daouda T, Zieger A
- Laumont CM, Caron-Lizotte O, Boucher G, Hardy MP, Gendron P, Cote C et al.: Impact of genomic polymorphisms on the repertoire of human MHC class I-associated peptides. Nat Commun 2014, 5:3600.
- See annotation to Ref. [44**].
- Doolan DL, Southwood S, Freilich DA, Sidney J, Graber NL, Shatney L, Bebris L, Florens L, Dobano C, Witney AA *et al.*: 46. Identification of Plasmodium falciparum antigens by antigenic analysis of genomic and proteomic data. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003, 100:9952-9957.
- 47. Mylin LM, Schell TD, Roberts D, Epler M, Boesteanu A, Collins EJ, Frelinger JA, Joyce S, Tevethia SS: Quantitation of CD8(+) Tlymphocyte responses to multiple epitopes from simian virus 40 (SV40) large T antigen in C57BL/6 mice immunized with SV40, SV40 T-antigen-transformed cells, or vaccinia virus recombinants expressing full-length T antigen or epitope minigenes. J Virolit 2000, 74:6922-6934.
- 48. Chen W, Anton LC, Bennink JR, Yewdell JW: Dissecting the multifactorial causes of immunodominance in class I restricted T cell responses to viruses. Immunity 2000, 12:83-93.
- 49. Meyer VS, Kastenmuller W, Gasteiger G, Franz-Wachtel M, Lamkemeyer T, Rammensee HG, Stevanovic S, Sigurdardottir D, Drexler I: Long-term immunity against actual poxviral HLA ligands as identified by differential stable isotope labeling J Immunol 2008, 181:6371-6383.
- 50. Johnson KL, Ovsyannikova IG, Mason CJ, Bergen HR 3rd, Poland GA: Discovery of naturally processed and HLApresented class I peptides from vaccinia virus infection using mass spectrometry for vaccine development. Vaccine 2009, 28:38-47
- 51. Terajima M, Orphin L, Leporati AM, Pazoles P, Cruz J, Rothman AL, Ennis FA: Vaccinia virus-specific CD8(+) T-cell responses target a group of epitopes without a strong immunodominance hierarchy in humans. Hum Immunol 2008, 69:815-825
- 52. Terajima M, Cruz J, Leporati AM, Demkowicz WE Jr, Kennedy JS, Ennis FA: Identification of vaccinia CD8+ T-cell epitopes conserved among vaccinia and variola viruses restricted by common MHC class I molecules, HLA-A2 or HLA-B7. Hum Immunol 2006, 67:512-520.

- 53. Huang J, Brameshuber M, Zeng X, Xie J, Li QJ, Chien YH, Valitutti S, Davis MM: A single peptide-major histocompatibility complex ligand triggers digital cytokine secretion in CD4(+) T cells. Immunity 2013, 39:846-857
- 54. Purbhoo MA, Irvine DJ, Huppa JB, Davis MM: T cell killing does not require the formation of a stable mature immunological synapse. Nat Immunol 2004, 5:524-530.
- Luedtke B, Pooler LM, Choi EY, Tranchita AM, Reinbold CJ, Brown AC, Shaffer DJ, Roopenian DC, Malarkannan S: **A single** 55. nucleotide polymorphism in the Emp3 gene defines the H4 minor histocompatibility antigen. Immunogenetics 2003, 55:284-295.
- Yadav R, Yoshimura Y, Boesteanu A, Christianson GJ, Ajayi WU, 56. Shashidharamurthy R, Stanic AK, Roopenian DC, Joyce S: The H4b minor histocompatibility antigen is caused by a combination of genetically determined and posttranslational modifications. J Immunol 2003, 170:5133-5142
- 57. Feng D, Bond CJ, Ely LK, Maynard J, Garcia KC: Structural evidence for a germline-encoded T cell receptor-major histocompatibility complex interaction 'codon'. Nat Immunol 2007. 8:975-983.
- 58. Garcia KC, Adams JJ, Feng D, Ely LK: The molecular basis of TCR germline bias for MHC is surprisingly simple. Nat Immunol 2009, 10:143-147.
- Marrack P, Scott-Browne JP, Dai S, Gapin L, Kappler JW: Evolutionarily conserved amino acids that control TCR-MHC interaction. Annu Rev Immunol 2008, 26:171-203.
- Ishizuka J, Grebe K, Shenderov E, Peters B, Chen Q, Peng Y, 60. Wang L. Dong T. Pasquetto V. Oseroff C et al.: Quantitating T cell cross-reactivity for unrelated peptide antigens. J Immunol 2009, **183**:4337-4345.
- 61. Boesteanu A, Brehm M, Mylin LM, Christianson GJ, Tevethia SS, Roopenian DC, Joyce S: A molecular basis for how a single TCR interfaces multiple ligands. J Immunol 1998, 161:4719-4727.
- Wooldridge L, Ekeruche-Makinde J, van den Berg HA, Skowera A, 62. Miles JJ, Tan MP, Dolton G, Clement M, Llewellyn-Lacey S, Price DA et al.: A single autoimmune T cell receptor recognizes more than a million different peptides. J Biol Chem 2012, 287:1168-1177.
- 63. Birnbaum ME, Mendoza JL, Sethi DK, Dong S, Glanville J, Dobbins J, Ozkan E, Davis MM, Wucherpfennig KW, Garcia KC: Deconstructing the peptide-MHC specificity of T cell recognition. Cell 2014, 157:1073-1087.
 This study revisited a baffling property of the TCR — high cross-reactivity

against the backdrop of high sensitivity (Refs. [53,54]). To define the molecular basis of this recognition logic, a novel experimental model consisting of yeast p/MHC display library was coupled with deep sequencing to identify the ligands for the test TCRs. The emerging data revealed that TCR cross-reactivity was not as extreme but involved hundreds (see also Ref. [56]) and not billions as previously thought! The primary struc-tures of the ligands indicated that a good number of peptides had a TCRspecific recognition motif consisting of conserved amino acid residues. From this deconstruction of the TCR-p/MHC recognition logic, an algo-rithm was developed that facilitated the prediction of ligands for autoreactive TCRs. Powerful new iterations of epitope predition algorithms can emerge by inclusion of these findings with the structural constraints in MHC binding peptides (see Ref. [65]) to the currently used algorithms.

- 64. Morris GP, Allen PM: How the TCR balances sensitivity and specificity for the recognition of self and pathogens. Nat Immunol 2012, 13:121-128.
- 65. Theodossis A, Guillonneau C, Welland A, Ely LK, Clements CS, Williamson NA, Webb AI, Wilce JA, Mulder RJ, Dunstone MA et al.: Constraints within major histocompatibility complex class I restricted peptides: presentation and consequences for T-cell recognition. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2010, 107:5534-5539.
- Flesch IE, Woo WP, Wang Y, Panchanathan V, Wong YC, La Gruta NL, Cukalac T, Tscharke DC: Altered CD8(+) T cell 66. immunodominance after vaccinia virus infection and the naive repertoire in inbred and F(1) mice. J Immunol 2010, 184:45-55.
- 67. Kotturi MF, Scott I, Wolfe T, Peters B, Sidney J, Cheroutre H, von Herrath MG, Buchmeier MJ, Grey H, Sette A: Naive precursor frequencies and MHC binding rather than the degree of

epitope diversity shape CD8+ T cell immunodominance. J Immunol 2008, 181:2124-2133.

- Oseroff C, Peters B, Pasquetto V, Moutaftsi M, Sidney J, Panchanathan V, Tscharke DC, Maillere B, Grey H, Sette A: Dissociation between epitope hierarchy and immunoprevalence in CD8 responses to vaccinia virus western reserve. J Immunol 2008, 180:7193-7202.
- 69. Jenkins MK, Moon JJ: The role of naive T cell precursor frequency and recruitment in dictating immune response magnitude. J Immunol 2012, 188:4135-4140.
- 70. Yewdell JW: Confronting complexity: real-world immunodominance in antiviral CD8+ T cell responses. Immunity 2006, 25:533-543.
- 71. Yewdell JW, Bennink JR: Immunodominance in major histocompatibility complex class I-restricted T lymphocyte responses. Annu Rev Immunol 1999, 17:51-88.
- Hansen SG, Sacha JB, Hughes CM, Ford JC, Burwitz BJ, Scholz I,
 Gilbride RM, Lewis MS, Gilliam AN, Ventura AB *et al.*: Cytomegalovirus vectors violate CD8+ T cell epitope recognition paradigms. *Science* 2013, 340:1237874.

This paradigm-shifting study reports how modified viral vectors can impact the quality of the T cell response to the protein(s) encoded by the recombinant gene. In so doing, novel CD8 T cell epitopes are unveiled and elicit responses that violate the rules of MHC restriction. Whether this is of common occurrence needs to be discovered. Should it be, an indepth understanding of microbial pathogenesis will become critical more than ever for protective epitope discovery. So also, efforts invested so far on epitope discovery will have underestimated the breadth of immune epitopes and will have missed protective antigens.

- 73. Tameris MD, Hatherill M, Landry BS, Scriba TJ, Snowden MA, Lockhart S, Shea JE, McClain JB, Hussey GD, Hanekom WA *et al.*: Safety and efficacy of MVA85A, a new tuberculosis vaccine, in infants previously vaccinated with BCG: a randomised, placebo-controlled phase 2b trial. Lancet 2013, 381:1021-1028.
- 74. Agnandji ST, Lell B, Soulanoudjingar SS, Fernandes JF, Abossolo BP, Conzelmann C, Methogo BG, Doucka Y, Flamen A, Mordmuller B et al.: First results of phase 3 trial of RTS,S/AS01 malaria vaccine in African children. N Engl J Med 2011, 365:1863-1875
- 75. De Gregorio E, Rappuoli R: From empiricism to rational design: a personal perspective of the evolution of vaccine development. Nat Rev Immunol 2014, 14:505-514.
- 76. Koff WC, Gust ID, Plotkin SA: Toward a human vaccines project. Nat Immunol 2014, 15:589-592.