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Omega-3 PUFA supplementation and the response to evoked endotoxemia in healthy volunteers
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Scope: Fish oil-derived n-3 PUFA may improve cardiometabolic health through modulation of innate immunity. However, findings in clinical studies are conflicting. We hypothesized that n-3 PUFA supplementation would dose-dependently reduce the systemic inflammatory response to experimental endotoxemia in healthy humans.

Methods and results: The Fenofibrate and omega-3 Fatty Acid Modulation of Endotoxemia (FFAME) study was an 8-wk randomized double-blind trial of placebo or n-3 PUFA supplementation (Lovaza 465 mg eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) + 375 mg docosahexaenoic acid (DHA)) at “low” (1/day, 900 mg) or “high” (4/day, 3600 mg) dose in healthy individuals (N = 60; age 18–45; BMI 18–30; 43% female; 65% European-, 20% African-, 15% Asian-ancestry) before a low-dose endotoxin challenge (LPS 0.6 ng/kg intravenous bolus). The endotoxemia-induced temperature increase was significantly reduced with high-dose (p = 0.03) but not low-dose EPA + DHA compared to placebo. Although there was no statistically significant impact of EPA + DHA on individual inflammatory responses (tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), IL-6, monocyte chemotactic protein (MCP-1), IL-1 receptor agonist (IL-1RA), IL-10, C-reactive protein (CRP), serum amyloid A (SAA)), there was a pattern of lower responses across all biomarkers with high-dose (nine of nine observed), but not low-dose EPA + DHA.

Conclusion: EPA + DHA at 3600 mg/day, but not 900 mg/day, reduced fever and had a pattern of attenuated LPS induction of plasma inflammatory markers during endotoxemia. Clinically and nutritionally relevant long-chain n-3 PUFA regimens may have specific, dose-dependent, anti-inflammatory actions.
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1 Introduction

Complex cardiometabolic disorders including atherosclerosis and type 2 diabetes (T2DM) are characterized by activation of innate immunity in vascular, hepatic, and adipose tissues and chronic low-grade systemic inflammation [1, 2]. Although innate immunity evolved in response to pathogenic stress, inappropriate or sustained triggering of inflammatory signaling in response to diet or lifestyle factors, microbiota, and genetics [3, 4] may accelerate and exacerbate chronic cardiometabolic disorders.

Both observational and interventional studies suggest that fish oil-derived long-chain omega-3 PUFAs (n-3 PUFA) are protective against chronic inflammatory cardiometabolic diseases [5, 6] but findings of some large trials are conflicting [7–10]. Regular intake of dietary n-3 PUFA is thought to confer anti-inflammatory protection that may contribute to cardiometabolic benefits. However, the underlying mechanisms of action have not been fully elucidated, and optimal dosing for reported benefits is unknown. Differences in habitual diet and other environmental factors reduce the power of population-based studies to detect effects of n-3 PUFA [11]. Clinical trials of fish oil supplementation have reported variable anti-inflammatory effects [12–14], perhaps due to modest action of fish oils coupled to heterogeneous dosing and application in nonideal human settings. The effects of fish oil supplementation are expected to be subtle, when compared to anti-inflammatory pharmacologic intervention, and thus, may be difficult to detect in the resting physiological state.

While inflammation is commonly observed in human disease, this correlation does not establish causality. Precise models are required to establish directionality of association between inflammation, dietary interventions, and disease in humans. Induced inflammation, due to activation of toll like receptor-4 (TLR4) by LPS during experimental endotoxemia, provides a model where activation of innate immunity and its metabolic consequences can be studied in humans in the absence of disease-related confounding or reverse causation [15–20]. In the Fenofibrate and omega-3 Fatty Acid Modulation of Endotoxemia (FFAME) study, we utilized an established low-dose endotoxemia model to examine the effect of n-3 PUFA on evoked systemic inflammation in healthy humans. Details of a separate fenofibrate arm of the study have been published [21]. In the FFAME n-3 PUFA study (N = 60) we investigated, relative to placebo, the dose-dependent anti-inflammatory actions of low and high doses of n-3 PUFA supplementation that are routinely used in clinic for prevention of heart disease (900 mg/day) or treatment of hypertriglyceridemia (3600 mg/day).

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Clinical trial design

2.1.1 Subjects

Healthy volunteers were recruited to the Clinical and Translational Research Center (CTRC) of the University of Pennsylvania (UPenn) between February 2010 and March 2011. Inclusion criteria included healthy men or healthy nonpregnant, nonlactating women, aged 18–45 years with a BMI of 18–30 kg/m². Exclusions included inflammatory disease, cigarette smoking, medication, substance, or supplement use, and habitual intake of high omega-3 fish (tuna and other nonfried fish, more than three to four servings per month). Physical exam, routine laboratory tests, and electrocardiogram (ECG) were normal in all volunteers. The trial was conducted with the approval of the UPenn Institutional Review Board, and all participants provided written informed consent. The trial was approved by the FDA and registered at clinicaltrials.gov with the number NCT01048502.

2.1.2 Trial design

An overview of the design of the FFAME trial is provided in Fig. 1. This was an investigator-initiated, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Participants were randomized to one of four treatment arms: placebo, fish oil-derived omega-3-acyl ester eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) (EPA + DHA; Lovaza, GlaxoSmithKline Pharmaceuticals (Research Triangle Park, NC); 465 mg EPA + 375 mg DHA) supplemented at either 1/day (900 mg) or 4/day (3600 mg), or fenofibrate (Tricor, Abbott Laboratories) 145 mg/day. Each EPA + DHA capsule contained α-tocopherol (4 mg) as an antioxidant. The trial was designed to enroll 80 subjects to full completion of the inpatient endotoxin protocol across all study arms, with ~20

Figure 1. Design of the FFAME study. After screening, subjects were randomized to EPA + DHA or placebo for 6–8 wk, followed by an LPS challenge inpatient visit.
subjects per group. This report focuses on the EPA + DHA versus placebo aspect of the trial, which was prespecified a priori as a distinct hypothesis from the recently published [21] fenofibrate trial. The EPA + DHA component of the study was designed to determine whether pretreatment (median duration 7 wk) of healthy volunteers with prescription n-3 PUFAs would result in dose-related attenuation of the inflammatory response to low-dose endotoxin, blocking the release of cytokines, chemokines, and acute phase reactants.

2.1.3 Interventions

Lovaza capsules and matching placebos were provided by GlaxoSmithKline Pharmaceuticals. Each 1 g placebo contained corn oil (99.4%) with α-tocopherol (0.6%) as an antioxidant. Subjects assigned to the Lovaza groups were also assigned matching Tricor placebo (containing lactose in a gelatin capsule) while subjects assigned to the Tricor group were given matching Lovaza placebos. Subjects in the placebo group took both the Tricor and Lovaza placebos.

2.1.4 Endotoxemia study protocol

Participants attended the CTRC for four trial visits: visit one for screening; visit two after a 12-h fast for randomization and collection of baseline labs; visit three, 6–8 wk after randomization, for a ~40-h inpatient stay consisting of an overnight fasting acclimatization phase and a post-LPS study phase; and visit four, 48–72 h after completion of the LPS challenge, for follow-up blood draws. Serial whole blood samples, for separation of plasma and serum were collected before and 1, 2, 4, 6, 12, and 24 h after intravenous bolus of 0.6 ng/kg (low-dose) U.S. standard reference endotoxin (LPS; lot No. CCRE-LOT-1 +2; Clinical Center, Pharmacy Department at the National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA). Urine was collected serially throughout the inpatient visit. Temperature was measured every 30 min for the first 12 h and then hourly for the remaining 16 h in the inpatient stay. Heart rate was measured hourly for the first 8 h post-LPS, followed by measurements at 12, 16, and 24 h. Blood pressure was recorded every 15 min for 8 h after LPS injection and then hourly for the remaining 16 h.

2.2 Laboratory methods

2.2.1 Lipidomic analyses

Red blood cells (RBC) obtained from whole blood were lysed using ammonium chloride solution (0.8% NH₄Cl, Stemcell Technologies), and membrane fatty acids extracted as described [22]. Briefly, fatty acids were hydrolyzed using KOH, extracted using ACN on a StrataX cartridge (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA), dried using a SpeedVac centrifugal evaporator (Savant Inc.) and stored at −80°C prior to analysis. The composition of arachidonic acid (AA), EPA, and DHA was determined by MS as previously described [23].

In order to provide insight into the impact of high-dose EPA + DHA treatment on fatty acids on downstream modulation of systemic inflammatory parameters, urinary isoprostanates 8,12-iso-iPF_{2α}-VI and 8,12-iso-iPF_{2α}-VI were determined in the high-dose and placebo groups (N = 16 placebo, N = 21 Lovaza 4/day) by LC/MS/MS as described [23, 24]. At randomization, a morning spot urine sample was collected, while the posttreatment sample was collected over a 6-h period (midnight to 6 a.m.). Briefly, the eicosanoids were extracted from urine by SPE (StrataX cartridge, Phenomenex), dried under a gentle stream of nitrogen, and stored at −80°C prior to analysis.

To further explore lipidomic changes with high-dose EPA + DHA, plasma lipidomics were carried out in a subset of high-dose and placebo (N = 9 placebo, N = 7 Lovaza 4/day) at the MRC Human Nutrition Research laboratory, Cambridge, UK. Plasma samples (15 μL) were extracted using an automated Flexus sample preparation unit and lipidomics performed on the extract using chip-based nanoelectrospray with an Advion TriVersa Nanomate interfaced to the Thermo Exactive Orbitrap (Thermo Scientific). A mass acquisition window from 200 to 2000 m/z was used with acquisition in positive and negative mode. Acquired spectral raw data were processed using CALDERA, an in-house bioinformatics platform, performing sample-specific mass recalibration using predefined sets of internal standards and the removal of commonly present contaminant ions. Automated compound annotation was carried out using exact mass-search in compound libraries and applying the referenced Kendrick mass defect approach. Features of interest were subsequently confirmed using fragmentation experiments on a Thermo Velos Orbitrap mass spectrometer.

2.2.1.1 Plasma inflammatory and metabolic markers

Serum amyloid A (SAA) and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (CRP) were measured by latex particle-enhanced immunonephelometry on a Behring Nephelometer II Analyzer (Siemens Diagnostics, Munich, Germany). Plasma levels of tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), IL-6, IL-10, IL-1 receptor agonist (IL-1RA), and monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1/CCL2) were measured using sandwich ELISAs according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Quantikine, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA). The intra- and interassay coefficients of variation across all plates were TNF-α, 7.5% and 14.8%; IL-6, 5.9% and 14.3%; IL-10, 6.6% and 8.0%; IL-1RA, 2.3% and 8.0%; and MCP-1, 7.8% and 11.1%, respectively. The lower LOQs were TNF-α, 0.4 pg/mL; IL-6, 0.154 pg/mL; IL-10, 0.78 pg/mL; IL1-RA, 25.4 pg/mL; and MCP-1, 31.2 pg/mL. For the purposes of analysis, samples with values below detection were set to the lower limit of the given assay. After ultracentrifugation, plasma total cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, and triglycerides (TG) were
measured enzymatically on a Hitachi 912 Analyzer (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN, USA).

### 2.2.2 Statistical analysis

Unless otherwise specified, data are reported as medians and interquartile range (IQR) for continuous variables and as proportions for categorical variables. Efficacy analyses included, a priori, data from all 60 participants who completed the inpatient endotoxin challenge. On the basis of prior work [17, 20, 25], the trial was designed to have an 80% power to detect a 27% reduction in plasma TNF-α response to endotoxin in an EPA + DHA-treated arm compared to placebo.

The change in baseline parameters following treatment with EPA + DHA or placebo (but before LPS) was compared among groups by Kruskal–Wallis nonparametric tests, and Dunn post hoc pairwise comparisons. To evaluate endotoxin effects over time, area under the curve (AUC) was calculated for outcome variables using the trapezoidal rule. The area representing the pre-LPS baseline was subtracted out for an incremental, or ∆AUC, which was compared by treatment group using the Kruskal–Wallis test. For temperature, as some subjects’ temperature fell below their baseline following LPS, we subtracted a constant (35.5°C) rather than the individual baseline for the ∆AUC. Correlations between variables were assessed using Spearman’s correlation coefficient (r_s). The main focus for analysis of LPS-induced change was the ∆AUC but we present peak responses also to facilitate clinical interpretation. Plasma TNF-α was considered the primary endpoint, with additional traits analyzed to provide complementary information about the impact on diverse inflammatory pathways. A p value < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance. We did not correct for multiple testing, in part because of the correlations among response variables. Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 19 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Pre-LPS characteristics

Seventy-six individuals were enrolled in the EPA + DHA portion of the FFAME trial (N = 23 Placebo, N = 28 Lovaza 1/day, N = 25 Lovaza 4/day). A separate arm of the trial, recently reported [21], included individuals randomized to fenofibrate. An overview of the flow of the study is shown in Fig. 2. Consistent with a projected completion rate of 80%, 16 individuals dropped out prior to the inpatient endotoxin visit (N = 7 for Placebo, N = 5 for Lovaza 1/day, N = 4 for Lovaza 4/day). Details of the reasons for dropping out are described in the Supporting Information. There were no serious adverse events; all reported adverse events are listed in Supporting Information Table 1. Baseline characteristics of FFAME participants who completed the endotoxin visit are shown in Table 1. There were no statistically significant differences in these measures between groups at randomization.

Adherence to EPA + DHA supplementation was assessed through pill count, and confirmed in all subjects, with <20% of study medication remaining on day the of LPS visit.

#### 3.2 Fish oil supplementation increased RBC membrane and plasma n-3 PUFA and urinary levels of n-3 PUFA-derived isoprostanes

RBC membrane PUFA composition of AA, EPA, and DHA was measured at randomization and after ~8 wk treatment with Lovaza 1/day, Lovaza 4/day, and placebo (Fig. 3). At randomization, there were no statistically significant differences between groups in RBC membrane EPA or DHA composition. After intervention, the proportion of RBC EPA and DHA increased in both treatment groups (p < 0.005 for all comparisons), while there was no change within the placebo group (p > 0.3) resulting in statistically significant differences in the changes between groups (Kruskal–Wallis ANOVA...
Table 1. Baseline characteristics of participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Placebo (N = 16)</th>
<th>Lovaza 1/day (N = 23)</th>
<th>Lovaza 4/day (N = 21)</th>
<th>Group difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>27 (11)</td>
<td>27 (8)</td>
<td>24 (7)</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female N (%)</td>
<td>6 (37.5)</td>
<td>11 (47.8)</td>
<td>9 (42.9)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White N (%)</td>
<td>12 (75)</td>
<td>14 (60)</td>
<td>13 (62)</td>
<td>&gt;0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American N (%)</td>
<td>2 (12.5)</td>
<td>6 (26)</td>
<td>4 (19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian N (%)</td>
<td>2 (12.5)</td>
<td>3 (13)</td>
<td>4 (19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>22.8 (5.8)</td>
<td>23.6 (4.2)</td>
<td>23.4 (3.8)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>119 (13)</td>
<td>117 (15)</td>
<td>113 (11)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (bpm)</td>
<td>65 (7)</td>
<td>64 (19)</td>
<td>68 (15)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor necrosis factor-α (pg/mL)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.88)</td>
<td>1.26 (1.02)</td>
<td>1.14 (1.06)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.66)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.73)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.96)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1 receptor agonist (pg/mL)</td>
<td>141 (57)</td>
<td>139 (66)</td>
<td>135 (65)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>0.78 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.78 (2.8)</td>
<td>0.78 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>132 (36)</td>
<td>136 (58)</td>
<td>146 (57)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-sensitivity C-reactive protein (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.37)</td>
<td>0.33 (1.05)</td>
<td>0.48 (0.58)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum amyloid A (mg/L)</td>
<td>2.8 (0.15)</td>
<td>2.8 (0.45)</td>
<td>2.28 (3.35)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>173 (34)</td>
<td>179 (47)</td>
<td>170 (57)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>56 (28)</td>
<td>57 (23)</td>
<td>58 (19)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>97 (23)</td>
<td>99 (42)</td>
<td>94 (38)</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mg/dL)</td>
<td>80 (58)</td>
<td>72 (57)</td>
<td>80 (63)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values given as median (IQR). p-Value from Kruskal–Wallis nonparametric test comparing values by treatment group.

p < 0.001 for EPA and p = 0.001 for DHA) with post hoc comparisons showing increases in participants receiving 1/day (p = 0.04 and p = 0.09 for EPA and DHA, respectively) and 4/day (p < 0.001 and p = 0.001 for EPA and DHA, respectively) relative to placebo. The increase in EPA was greater in 4/day versus 1/day (p = 0.008). These data demonstrate a dose-dependent change in n-3 fatty acid composition with EPA + DHA treatment and the achieved RBC levels of the ions of EPA-containing phosphocholine PC(38:5) (808.584 m/z) (Placebo −0.05, n-3 PUFA +0.59, p = 0.2) and the DHA-containing phosphocholine PC(40:6) (834.600 m/z) (Placebo +0.06, n-3 PUFA +0.63, p = 0.001) compared with placebo. Phosphocholine lipids other than EPA or DHA were significantly decreased in the 4/day group: arachidonic acid (PC(36:4)) (810.600 m/z) (Placebo +0.06, n-3 PUFA −0.67, p = 0.016) and α-linoleic acid PC(36:3) (784.585) (Placebo +0.04, n-3 PUFA −0.98, p < 0.001). Collectively, these lipidomic analyses reveal the anticipated appropriate increases in systemic n-3 fatty acid exposures with EPA + DHA supplementation.

3.3 Pre-LPS circulating lipoproteins and inflammatory markers were not significantly altered by EPA + DHA supplementation

During the ~8-wk trial period prior to LPS administration, circulating lipoprotein and lipid levels dropped in all groups. However there were no postsupplementation differences in total cholesterol, LDL, or HDL between groups. Despite a trend toward greater decrease in TG with Lovaza 4/day, there were no statistically significant differences between treatment groups and placebo in the degree of change although we note TG levels were quite low in this healthy study sample (Supporting Information Table 2). Prior to LPS, there was an unexpected small increase in IL-6 in all groups (median (IQR) 1.05 (0.7) to 2.9 (2.9), p < 0.001), however there were no differences among groups
Figure 3. Change in red blood cell membrane fatty acids following EPA + DHA treatment; (A) eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), (B) docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), and (C) combined EPA and DHA. The proportion of EPA and DHA in the red blood cell membrane increased significantly following EPA + DHA supplementation. Arachidonic acid (AA), EPA, and DHA expressed as percentage of total fatty acids measured (AA + EPA + DHA).

3.4 High-dose but not low-dose EPA + DHA attenuated the temperature response to LPS

Following LPS, subjects exhibited an expected modest acute inflammatory response [21, 28], with a small but significant increase in body temperature, peaking ∼4 h post-LPS (Fig. 4). The temperature response differed significantly across groups (Kruskal–Wallis p = 0.035), with reduced ΔAUC in 4/day compared with placebo (post hoc adjusted p = 0.046) but no significant difference in 1/day versus placebo. There was no effect of EPA + DHA treatment on blood pressure or heart rate in response to LPS (Supporting Information Fig. 1A–C).

3.5 Neither EPA + DHA treatments had statistically significant impact on the inflammatory biomarker response to endotoxemia

As expected, in the placebo group, endotoxemia induced an acute increase in plasma cytokines (TNF-α, 13-fold; IL-6, 12-fold; IL-10, 14-fold; IL-1RA, 54-fold), chemokines (MCP-1, 10-fold), and acute phase proteins (CRP, 20-fold; SAA, 17-fold; Fig. 5). There were no statistically significant differences among treatment groups in the ΔAUC of our primary inflammatory variable, TNF-α (Fig. 5A), or in other inflammatory biomarkers (Fig. 5B–G). There was a consistent trend toward a reduction in several inflammatory biomarkers (TNF-α, IL-6, IL-10, IL-1RA, MCP-1, CRP, and SAA) with Lovaza 4/day (Fig. 5A–G). However, this did not reach statistical significance versus placebo for any individual biomarker. The 1/day group did not display any trend toward a reduced inflammatory biomarker response.

3.6 Trend across inflammatory biomarkers with high-dose EPA + DHA treatment

Because of an apparent pattern of lower responses for many inflammatory measures with high-dose EPA + DHA, we explored the patterns of these trends across nine endotoxemia-modulated inflammatory responses (temperature, pain, TNF-α, IL-6, IL-10, IL-1RA, MCP-1, CRP, SAA). For Lovaza 4/day, nine of nine ΔAUC responses were lower than the placebo group (Table 4). In contrast, three of nine ΔAUC responses were lower for 1/day versus placebo.

4 Discussion

We used a low-dose evoked endotoxemia model in healthy volunteers to examine putative anti-inflammatory effects of fish oil-derived n-3 PUFA supplementation. After 6–8 wk of treatment, there were measurable differences in red blood cell membrane and plasma fatty acids, as well as urinary prostaglandins in the n-3 PUFA-treated groups, indicating appropriate systemic changes in fatty acid composition related to EPA + DHA supplementation. These did not translate into any measurable differences in pre-LPS clinical or inflammatory variables. Although there was no statistically significant impact of either n-3 PUFA dose on LPS-induced increases in plasma TNF-α (the primary response variable), treatment with Lovaza 4/day, but not 1/day attenuated endotoxemia-induced fever, and produced a pattern of lower responses.
Table 2. Changes in blood and urine lipidomics following ∼8 wk of EPA + DHA supplementation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Placebo (N = 9)</th>
<th>Lovaza 4/day (N = 7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC(38:5) (808.584 m/z)</td>
<td>2.33 (0.45) 2.48 (0.48)</td>
<td>−0.06 (0.65) 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC(40:6) (834.600 m/z)</td>
<td>0.51 (0.19) 0.59 (0.19)</td>
<td>+0.06 (0.15) &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC(38:4) (810.600 m/z)</td>
<td>2.85 (1.19) 2.78 (0.84)</td>
<td>+0.06 (0.50) 0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC(36:3) (784.584 m/z)</td>
<td>3.50 (0.94) 3.51 (1.09)</td>
<td>+0.04 (0.80) &lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8,12-iso-iPF2α-VI (ng/mg creatinine)</td>
<td>5.43 (6.10) 6.83 (4.40)</td>
<td>−0.36 (5.57) 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8,12-iso-iPF3α-VI (ng/mg creatinine)</td>
<td>0.64 (0.76) 2.52 (2.00)</td>
<td>−1.59 (1.74) &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values given as median (IQR). p-Value from Mann–Whitney U nonparametric test comparing the absolute delta by treatment group. iPF2α: 8,12-iso-iPF(2 alpha)-VI; iPF3α: 8,12-iso-iPF(3 alpha)-VI.

across all measured inflammatory biomarkers compared to placebo.

There are several lines of evidence linking cardiometabolic disease with inflammation. Obesity is associated with adipose dysfunction, and increased local production of TNF-α and other inflammatory mediators, which contribute to the development of insulin resistance and metabolic dysfunction [29]. Atherosclerosis is characterized by localized inflammation within atherosclerotic plaque as well as increased systemic inflammation [30]. While a robust inflammatory response to pathogens is crucial for survival, chronic activation as observed in metabolic disease may itself be pathogenic. With considerable overlap between the inflammatory immune response and the nutrient-sensitive metabolic response, it is likely that an imbalance in input from either direction results in dysregulated inflammatory signaling [29]. Thus, chronic

Table 3. There were no significant differences between the EPA + DHA treated groups and placebo in baseline levels of inflammatory markers or in the change in variables over the ∼8-wk pre-LPS treatment period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Placebo (N = 16)</th>
<th>Lovaza 1/day (N = 23)</th>
<th>Lovaza 4/day (N = 21)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor necrosis factor-α (pg/mL)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.88) 1.12 (1.20)</td>
<td>−0.08 (0.56) 0.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.66) 3.30 (2.82)</td>
<td>2.3 (2.13) 0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1 receptor agonist (pg/mL)</td>
<td>141 (57) 130 (67)</td>
<td>0.65 (32.82) 0.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>132 (36) 138 (43)</td>
<td>3.4 (25.68) 0.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-sensitivity C-reactive protein (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.37) 0.42 (0.81)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.23) 0.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum amyloid A (mg/L)</td>
<td>2.8 (0.15) 2.8 (1.03)</td>
<td>0 (0) 0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values given as median (IQR). Placebo N = 16; Lovaza 1/day N = 23; Lovaza 4/day N = 21. p-value from Kruskal–Wallis nonparametric test comparing the absolute delta by treatment group.
over-nutrition or suboptimal nutrition in the context of reduced physical activity may disturb inflammatory equilibrium, with pathogenic consequences.

Given the close interrelationship between innate immune and nutrient-responsive inflammatory signaling, and the strong link between diet-induced obesity and cardiometabolic disease, manipulation of nutrient intake may be a key modulator of disease. Long-chain n-3 PUFA have been associated with protection against disease in both epidemiological [6] and interventional studies [7, 8, 31]. However, recent studies and meta-analyses have been inconclusive as to the efficacy of fish oil supplementation and fish consumption in disease reduction [32, 33], with interpretation confounded by considerable heterogeneity in dose, type of n-3 PUFA used (e.g., EPA versus DHA), population studied, habitual fish consumption, n-3 status, and potential interaction with other drugs (e.g., statins) [34].

While the precise pathways linking n-3 PUFA and disease prevention are not known, there are several plausible mechanisms, including competitive inhibition by n-3 PUFA of proinflammatory eicosanoid production [35], suppression of proinflammatory eicosanoid species [36], transcriptional modulation of lipid-responsive and inflammatory genes [37, 38], alteration of fatty acid membrane properties [39], alteration of receptor signaling, e.g., through the specific G-protein coupled signaling receptor GPR120 [40], and through modulation of the gut microbiota [41]. The relative roles, if any, of these proposed mechanisms remain unclear. In addition, the optimal dose required for preventing chronic inflammation in cardiometabolic disease while maintaining optimal innate immune responsiveness is not known.

Much of the uncertainty surrounding the efficacy of n-3 PUFA in disease modulation is likely related to the considerable heterogeneity in intake, population, drug interactions, and existing disease status. We hypothesized that an evoked endotoxemia model in healthy individuals would increase the ability to detect systemic anti-inflammatory effects of n-3 PUFA supplementation. We chose to use a pharmaceutical-grade preparation of EPA and DHA (Lovaza) to minimize confounding by natural variation in the composition of non-pharmaceutical fish oil preparations. Intravenous infusion of very high doses of fish oil has been shown to blunt clinical and inflammatory response to endotoxin [42, 43], with reduced endotoxin-induced fever following fish oil infusion, but the clinical relevance of this extreme dosing is unclear. In FFAME, we observed a significantly reduced temperature response in subjects treated with high-dose EPA + DHA (3600 mg/day), but not with low-dose (900 mg/day).

Figure 4. Temperature response to LPS in EPA + DHA treated groups compared to placebo. Subjects treated with high-dose EPA + DHA (Lovaza 4/day) had a significantly reduced temperature response compared with placebo (AUC $p = 0.046$). This reduction was not observed in the low-dose EPA + DHA group.
high dose corresponds to the recommended dose for individuals with hypertriglyceridemia, while the low dose is recommended for individuals with documented CHD [44]. While both doses are relatively high in a nutritional context, intake of 900 mg/day EPA + DHA is achievable with regular consumption of fatty fish consistent with dietary recommendations [45]. While higher doses are recommended in hypertriglyceridemia, it remains unclear whether these doses should be recommended in an anti-inflammatory context. While in vivo oxidation of n-3 PUFA may be protective by reducing generation of more deleterious oxidized n-6 PUFA [23, 36], consumption of oxidized lipids may have proinflammatory effects [46]. Optimal dosage may be dependent both on the health status of the individual, and background diet, in particular intake of n-6 PUFA [35]. Notably, the low-dose group did not show any trend toward lower inflammation during endotoxemia. In fact, for many biomarkers the trend was toward increased responses. In contrast, the impact of high-dose fish oil on fever responses and its pattern of lower inflammatory responses during endotoxemia suggest that n-3 PUFA supplementation might have clinically relevant anti-inflammatory effects when used at higher doses, but not at the lower doses used for cardioprotection. However, we emphasize that neither EPA + DHA dose had significant impact on the primary endotoxemia response variable, plasma TNF-α.

Our study had several strengths. Understanding causality is problematic in the context of inflammatory cardiometabolic disease, as the chronically elevated inflammation observed in individuals presenting with overt cardiovascular disease or diabetes may in part be a result of the disease process itself. Thus, we used a model of evoked inflammation in healthy individuals. Administration of bacterial-derived LPS activates TLR4, which acts as a general activation signal for multiple downstream inflammatory responses [47] related to both innate immune and metabolic signaling. By controlling the initiation of the inflammatory insult, we are able to define downstream inflammatory events, which aids in inferring causality. The LPS model has been used extensively by our group and others [16, 17, 19, 20, 28, 48–50] to interrogate inflammatory, lipid, and insulin signaling responses to endotoxemia. We used a pharmaceutical preparation of EPA + DHA, which removed potential confounding by other compounds present in fish oil preparations, and allows easier interpretation for clinical applications, particularly as we...
used low- and high-dose regimens that are used commonly in clinical practice.

Our trial also has limitations. Although our pretrial power calculations suggested that 20 participants per group would be sufficient to detect a 27% reduction in TNF-α in the EPA + DHA-treated group compared with placebo, our findings reveal that our study was in fact underpowered to detect significant differences in our primary outcome. Instead of

Table 4. Pattern of inflammatory responses in EPA + DHA treated groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>ΔAUC placebo</th>
<th>ΔAUC Lovaza 4/day</th>
<th>Response in Lovaza 4/day compared to placebo</th>
<th>ΔAUC Lovaza 1/day</th>
<th>Response in Lovaza 1/day compared to placebo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tumor necrosis factor-α</td>
<td>37.63</td>
<td>33.36</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>53.40</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>113.5</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>125.1</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>43.82</td>
<td>40.36</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>50.55</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1 receptor agonist</td>
<td>28617.4</td>
<td>22351.3</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>35077.7</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocyte chemotactic protein-1</td>
<td>2877.1</td>
<td>1804.1</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>3617.1</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-reactive protein</td>
<td>76.05</td>
<td>62.69</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>91.25</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum amyloid A</td>
<td>632.3</td>
<td>501.7</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>597.7</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>1266.6</td>
<td>1159.8</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>1215.7</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

△AUC calculated as area under the curve, adjusted for baseline levels.
the anticipated 80% power, we actually only had ~30% power given the observed heterogeneous responses. We have recently reported race-differences in inflammatory responses to endotoxemia in a large sample [49]. Because the FFAME study recruited individuals of European, African, and Asian ancestry, this heterogeneity may have impacted power to detect differences related to EPA + DHA treatment. Although small, a slightly higher dropout rate in the placebo compared with the EPA + DHA groups may have introduced bias. Based on these data, we suggest that replication of this study in a larger, ethnically homogeneous population should achieve power to definitively address hypotheses of differences in inflammatory responses with EPA + DHA supplementation, and dose-related effects. It will also be important to explore potentially different effects of EPA and DHA in future work given evidence that EPA and DHA have distinct effects on lipids and inflammation [51–53], and thus may exert specific anti-inflammatory effects through unique mechanisms.

4.1 Conclusions

During experimental endotoxemia, neither high-dose nor low-dose EPA + DHA had significant impact on the primary response variable, plasma TNF-α. However, high-dose EPA + DHA at doses used for treatment of lipid disorders, but not at low dose used for cardioprotection, did reduce the febrile response and may suppress diverse inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and acute phase protein responses. Thus, n-3 PUFA supplementation might modulate inflammatory responses in a dose-related manner that is specifically relevant to current dosing strategies in cardiometabolic disease but this hypothesis requires further trials.
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