

Approval Date July 2022  
 Review Date July 2024

**Protocol:** Pediatric Burn Inhalation Injury

Applicable to	
<input type="checkbox"/> VUH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Children's <input type="checkbox"/> DOT <input type="checkbox"/> VMG Off-site locations <input type="checkbox"/> VMG <input type="checkbox"/> VPH <input type="checkbox"/> Other
Team Members Performing	
<input type="checkbox"/> All faculty & staff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Faculty & staff providing direct patient care or contact
<input type="checkbox"/> Other:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MD <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> House Staff <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> APRN/PA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RN <input type="checkbox"/> LPN
Authors	
Ryan Stark, MD Assistant Professor of Pediatrics	Alexander Moore, MD Assistant Professor of Anesthesiology
Anne L. Wagner, MD, FACS Burn Director, Vanderbilt	Daniel Barrett APRN, MSN Pediatric Critical Care Nurse Practitioner
Samuel McKenna, MD, DDS Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery	
Frank Virgin, MD Associate Professor of Otolaryngology	

**Table of Contents**

---

I.	Population .....	2
II.	Definitions .....	2
III.	Assessment.....	3
IV.	Diagnosis .....	4
V.	Intervention/Treatment.....	6
VII.	References.....	7

**I. Population:**

This protocol is intended to provide recommendations of treatment for pediatric patients with inhalation injuries with or without facial burns. All patients with confirmed or suspected inhalation injuries will be admitted to the PICU.


**II. Definitions:**

Inhalation injury refers to three separate injuries that occur when a patient is exposed to a fire in an enclosed space and inhales hot air and chemicals and incomplete products of combustion. The three injuries include supraglottic thermal injury which is caused by the direct thermal damage to the upper airway and can result in swelling, mucosal sloughing, and bronchospasm. The second type of injury is lower respiratory tract injury resulting from inhalation of chemicals and incomplete products of combustion that causes intense inflammatory responses and can lead to bronchospasm, vasospasm, bronchorrhea, and bronchial exudate and cast formation resulting in endoluminal obstruction. The final injury type is systemic poisoning including carbon monoxide poisoning and cyanide poisoning.

**III. Assessment:**

All burn patients should be assessed for inhalation injury. It is important to know the circumstances of the burn injury – enclosed vs non-enclosed. Patients trapped in enclosed spaces such as house fires and car fires with prolonged extrication are at greatest risk for inhalation injury.

- a. Patients should be examined for physical signs of smoke inhalation – soot in mouth/nose/larynx, hoarseness, stridor, facial burns, singed nasal hairs or carbonaceous sputum. Note: Not all patients present with the classic signs and symptoms of inhalation injury and presence or absence of these factors are not always a reliable indicator of presence or severity of inhalation injury. The algorithm below provides guidance for early management of the airway in fire-related inhalation injury.<sup>1</sup>
- b. Patients should also be assessed for systemic poisoning when appropriate. A blood carboxyhemoglobin level should be obtained as soon as possible. We are not currently using the external monitor (finger probe) as it has not been validated in our center. Cyanide levels are not helpful due to the delay in getting the lab back.



Adapted from Sheridan, RL. NEJM 2016

#### IV. Diagnosis






- a. Carbon Monoxide (CO) poisoning. CO poisoning should be suspected in any patient who was in an enclosed space fire. The half-life of carboxyhemoglobin (COHb) in approximately one hour at an FiO2 of 100%.<sup>2</sup> Pulse oximetry is unreliable in CO poisoning as the elevated COHb level will falsely elevate the SaO2 measurements.

COHb Level	Symptoms
0-5	Normal
15-20	Headache, Confusion
20-40	Disorientation, Fatigue, Nausea, Visual changes
40-60	Hallucination, Combativeness, Coma, Shock
60 or above	Cardiopulmonary arrest

- b. Cyanide poisoning. Hydrogen Cyanide is released with the products of combustion of synthetic polymers (couches, car seats, mattresses, etc.) and is absorbed by inhalation. Cyanide poisoning should be suspected in a patient who was in an enclosed space fire (e.g. home, car, trailer). Hydrogen cyanide interferes with oxygen transfer in the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase system which results in tissue anoxia. Pulse oximetry is unreliable in patients with cyanide poisoning because the issue is with oxygen delivery not with oxygen uptake or carrying capacity. Cyanide labs are not routinely ordered. The lab is performed externally and takes several days to result. If cyanide poisoning is suspected, it should be treated empirically as the risks associated with treatment are low. **Cyanide toxicity should be suspected and treated in patients who have been in an enclosed space fire, are unconscious, and/or have a lactic acidosis.**

- c. Lower respiratory tract injury. The diagnosis of inhalation injury should be suspected based upon clinical findings in the setting of prolonged smoke exposure (i.e. history of being in an enclosed space fire), but definitive diagnosis relied upon direct examination of the airways. Once the airway is secured and the patient is hemodynamically normal, the diagnosis should be confirmed with flexible bronchoscopy. Flexible bronchoscopy done within 24 hours of injury is the gold standard diagnostic test for diagnosis of inhalation injury.<sup>3,4</sup> This exam should be coordinated with the burn surgeon. Consideration should be given to sending BAL specimens on any patient with an inhalation injury. We do not intubate for the sole purpose of performing a diagnostic bronchoscopy.

Bronchoscopic injury severity scoring. The Abbreviated Injury Score (AIS) correlates with degree of hypoxia experienced in the first 72 hours after injury.<sup>5</sup>

Grade	Class		Description
0	No injury		Absence of carbonaceous deposits, erythema, edema, bronchorrhea or obstruction
1	Mild injury		Minor or patchy areas of erythema, carbonaceous deposits in proximal or distal bronchi
2	Moderate injury		Moderate degree of erythema, carbonaceous deposits, bronchorrhea, or bronchial obstruction
3	Severe injury		Severe inflammation with friability, copious carbonaceous deposits, bronchorrhea or obstruction
4	Massive injury		Evidence of mucosal sloughing, necrosis, endoluminal obliteration

**V. Interventions**

- a. Recommended labs:
  - i. Arterial blood gas (ABG) with lactate, methemoglobin, and carboxyhemoglobin
  - ii. Standard burn admission labs
- b. Tests:
  - i. Baseline chest radiograph
  - ii. Bronchoscopy within 24hrs of injury
  - iii. Spontaneous breathing and awakening trials per unit protocols
  - iv. Assessment for cuff leak by RT

**VI. Treatments**

- a. Medications
  - i. Cyanokit (hydroxocobalamin) should be administered if cyanide poisoning is suspected and as soon as possible. If it was given prehospital, it should NOT be given again. The pediatric dose is 70mg/kg with max of 6 grams. NOTE: Cyanokit will turn the urine a dark pink/magenta and will cause the wound exudate to develop a pink hue.
  - ii. Inhalation injury “burn cocktail.” Administer q4hrs for 7 days or until extubated. Do not start on patients that are not intubated.<sup>6</sup>

Inhalation Injury Protocol for Intubated Patients (aka “burn cocktail”)	
Q4hr	3mL 20% N-acetylcysteine (nebulized)
Q4hr	Heparin 5000 units (nebulized)
Q4hr	Albuterol 2.5mg/3mL (0.083%) (nebulized)

- iii. Albuterol, combined with the burn cocktail has been shown to reduce the length of mechanical ventilation in patients with inhalation injury.<sup>7</sup>
- iv. Humidified oxygen

**VII. Considerations**

- a. Extubation Criteria: Patients often appear to meet extubation criteria during the first 24 hours. Strong consideration should be given to the following when discussing inhalation injuries: delayed mucosal sloughing and risk of hypoxemia for up to 72 hours post injury in patients with a bronchoscopic graded 2 or greater injury.
- b. Endotracheal Tube Securement: For patients with inhalational or significant burn injury with facial burns, standard endotracheal tube securement cannot be achieved using adhesive tapes. In these settings, if a patient with facial and peri-oral burns has an ETT and it is expected that the patient will require intubation for longer than 24 hours, the patient will need a more stable, oral, or nasal securement.  
For patients with adequate or molar dentition, Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery (OMFS) will provide initial support for ETT securement (molar wiring or arch bar<sup>8</sup>) with ENT backup if OMFS is unavailable. In patients without adequate dentition for securement (< 18 months or 9 to 12 years of age) or in patients who are expected to be intubated > 1 week with expected high sedation demands, Anesthesia (Airway Team) is available to discuss the possibility of converting an endotracheal ETT to a nasotracheal ETT.

- Decisions for longer term securement should be made in conjunction between the subspecialties team to discuss risk/benefit and safety of the procedures during standard daytime hours. In patients who are not expected to require ventilation via an ETT for longer than 24 hours, ETTs can be secured via trach ties until the patient is ready for extubation.
- c. Ventilator Settings: All efforts should be made to prevent further pulmonary trauma. This may be conventional ventilator strategies with lung-protective settings (e.g. low tidal volume) or alternative ventilator strategies such as oscillatory ventilation. No specific ventilator strategy has been shown to confer a mortality benefit in this patient population.<sup>9</sup> The ventilator management strategy is under the purview of the PICU attending.
  - d. Fluid Management: In patients with severe, cutaneous thermal injury ( $\geq 20\%$  TBSA), the addition of an inhalation injury predicts an increased fluid requirement.<sup>10,11</sup> This is important to note but does not change the initial resuscitation rate.
  - e. ECMO: The role of ECMO in burn patients remains unclear. Retrospective data indicates that the survival for burn patients requiring ECMO is low and that the cohort of burn patients with the highest survival is those with isolated inhalation injury or small burns with inhalation injury or ARDS.<sup>12</sup> However, emerging data suggests that ECMO can be a successful strategy in the management of patients with severe burns and ARDS and that outcomes are no different than standard indications for pediatric ECMO.<sup>13-15</sup> In patients that have refractory hypoxia or hypercarbia, ECMO should be considered in a discussion between the PICU and burn surgery attendings.

**References:**

1. Sheridan RL. Fire-Related Inhalation Injury. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2016;375(19):1905.
2. Weaver LK, Howe S, Hopkins R, Chan KJ. Carboxyhemoglobin half-life in carbon monoxide-poisoned patients treated with 100% oxygen at atmospheric pressure. *Chest*. 2000;117(3):801-808.
3. Woodson C. *Diagnosis and Treatment of Inhalation Injury*. 4th ed 2009.
4. Endorf FW, Gamelli RL. Inhalation injury, pulmonary perturbations, and fluid resuscitation. *Journal of burn care & research : official publication of the American Burn Association*. 2007;28(1):80-83.
5. Mosier MJ, Pham TN, Park DR, Simmons J, Klein MB, Gibran NS. Predictive value of bronchoscopy in assessing the severity of inhalation injury. *Journal of burn care & research : official publication of the American Burn Association*. 2012;33(1):65-73.
6. Elsharnouby NM, Eid HE, Abou Elezz NF, Aboelatta YA. Heparin/N-acetylcysteine: an adjuvant in the management of burn inhalation injury: a study of different doses. *Journal of critical care*. 2014;29(1):182.e181-184.
7. McGinn KA, Weigartz K, Lintner A, Scalese MJ, Kahn SA. Nebulized Heparin With N-Acetylcysteine and Albuterol Reduces Duration of Mechanical Ventilation in Patients With Inhalation Injury. *Journal of pharmacy practice*. 2017:897190017747143.
8. Bittner EA, Shank E, Woodson L, Martyn JA. Acute and perioperative care of the burn-injured patient. *Anesthesiology*. 2015;122(2):448-464.
9. Chung KK, Wolf SE, Renz EM, et al. High-frequency percussive ventilation and low tidal volume ventilation in burns: a randomized controlled trial. *Critical care medicine*. 2010;38(10):1970-1977.
10. Hughes KR, Armstrong RF, Brough MD, Parkhouse N. Fluid requirements of patients with burns and inhalation injuries in an intensive care unit. *Intensive care medicine*. 1989;15(7):464-466.
11. Navar PD, Saffle JR, Warden GD. Effect of inhalation injury on fluid resuscitation requirements after thermal injury. *American journal of surgery*. 1985;150(6):716-720.
12. Patton ML, Simone MR, Kraut JD, Anderson HL, Haith LR. Successful utilization of ECMO to treat an adult burn patient with ARDS. *Burns : journal of the International Society for Burn Injuries*. 1998;24(6):566-568.
13. Nosanov LB, McLawhorn MM, Vigiola Cruz M, Chen JH, Shupp JW. A National Perspective on ECMO Utilization Use in Patients with Burn Injury. *Journal of burn care & research : official publication of the American Burn Association*. 2017;39(1):10-14.
14. Ainsworth CR, Dellavolpe J, Chung KK, Cancio LC, Mason P. Revisiting extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for ARDS in burns: A case series and review of the literature. *Burns : journal of the International Society for Burn Injuries*. 2018;44(6):1433-1438.
15. Thompson KB, Dawoud F, Castle S, Pietsch JB, Danko ME, Bridges BC. Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation Support for Pediatric Burn Patients: Is It Worth the Risk? *Pediatr Crit Care Med*. 2020;21(5):469-476.